SOLICITATION NO. NNG14490137R

NASA Sounding Rocket Operations Contract III (NSROC III)

ATTACHMENT A

STATEMENT OF WORK MaySeptember 2014

Table of Contents

1.	SCOPE		3
2.		QUIREMENTS	
		AGEMENT	
		JSINESS MANAGEMENT	
		CONTRACT MANAGEMENT, REVIEWS, AND REPORTING	
		FINANCIAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	
		WORK ORDER TRACKING	
	2.1.1.4.	SUBCONTRACT MANAGEMENT	7
	2.1.1.5.	INFORMATION AND DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT	8
	2.1.1.6.	GOVERNMENT PROPERTY	9
		ROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION	
		CONTRACT TRANSITION	
		CONTRACT TRANSITION PHASE OUT	
		MISSION AND DRPA PLANNING AND SCHEDULING	
		REQUIRED REVIEWS AND MEETINGS	
		SAFETY PROGRAM	20
	2.1.2.5.1.		
	RANGE A	ND LAUNCH OPERATIONS	
	2.1.2.5.2.	OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY	
		QUALITY ASSURANCE	
		CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT	
		RISK MANAGEMENT	
		SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	
	2.1.2.10.	TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION	
	2.1.2.11.	PROGRAM SUPPORT FUNCTIONS	
	2.1.2.12.	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA EXPORT REGULATIONS	
	2.1.2.13.	OFFICE AUTOMATION DEVICES AND SERVICES	
	2.2. MISSI	ON TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS	28
		ISSION FORMULATION PHASE	
		MISSION INITIATION CONFERENCE	
		REQUIREMENTS DEFINITION MEETING	
		ESIGN PHASE REQUIREMENTS	
		FLIGHT SYSTEMS AND GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	
		ND ANALYSIS	
		DESIGN REVIEW	
		ABRICATION, INTEGRATION, TESTING, and QUALIFICAT	
		EQUIREMENTS	
		FLIGHT HARDWARE and GSE FABRICATION	
	2.2.3.2.	PRE-INTEGRATION REVIEW (PIR)	<u>363635</u>
	2.2.3.3.	INTEGRATION, TESTING, and FLIGHT QUALIFICATION	<u>363635</u>
	2.2.3.4.	MISSION READINESS REVIEW	<u>373736</u>
	2.2.4. PF	RE LAUNCH, AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED, LAUNCH, A	AND
		UNCH SUPPORT PHASE REQUIREMENTS	
		PRE-LAUNCH OPERATIONS	
	2.2.4.2.	AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED WITH LAUNCH OPERAT	IONS

<u>393938</u>	
2.2.4.3. LAUNCH OPERATIONS	<u>393938</u>
2.2.4.4. POST-LAUNCH FIELD OPERATIONS	<u>4040</u> 39
2.2.5. POST-FLIGHT PHASE REQUIREMENTS	40 <u>40</u> 39
2.2.5.1. POST-FLIGHT DATA REDUCTION	40 <u>40</u> 39
2.2.5.2. POST FLIGHT STUDIES	40 <u>40</u> 39
2.2.5.3. MISHAP AND ANOMALY INVESTIGATIONS	41 41 40
2.2.5.4. MISSION CLOSEOUT REPORT	
2.3. DEVELOPMENT AND ROUTINE PROJECT ASSIGNMENTS	42 42 41
Acronym List	55 55 54

1. SCOPE

The NASA Sounding Rocket Operations Contract III (NSROC III) serves as the mechanism for the implementation of the NASA Sounding Rockets Program (NSRP). The program utilizes expendable sub-orbital rockets to conduct a host of scientific missions for the study of near earth and space environments and to advance new technologies. Individual mission requirements have historically involved the use of single and multi-stage sub-orbital vehicles lifting payloads with weights ranging from 30 pounds to approximately 1500 pounds to altitudes ranging from 80 to approximately 2000 kilometers. The time required to conduct a specific mission from payload design and development through launch will vary substantially and may range from a few months to two years or longer. Most of these missions are conducted from established launch ranges, while some are conducted as mobile launch campaigns from ranges that have been temporarily established.

The NSRP risk posture is consistent with NASA's goal to provide Low Cost Access to Space (LCAS). Consequently, the Program is governed by NASA NPR 7120.8, NASA Research and Technology Program and Project Management Requirements. Furthermore, the Program operates under an 85% mission success metric established by NASA Headquarters, thus allowing the Program to be tolerant of elevated technical risks on each mission while maintaining strict adherence to all NASA safety requirements.

The NSROC III Statement of Work (SOW) requires the Contractor to operate and maintain the government-owned facilities located at NASA's Wallops Flight Facility, Wallops Island, Virginia (WFF) and at White Sands Missile Range, White Sands, New Mexico (WSMR). The Contractor shall be responsible for conducting sounding rocket operations from multiple launch sites, including remote launch campaigns. NASA sounding rocket launch sites include Poker Flat Research Range in Fairbanks, Alaska (PFRR) and Andoya Rocket RangeAndoya Space Center in Andenes, Norway (ARRASC). Mobile launch sites include Reagan Test Site in Kwajalein Atoll and Woomera Test Range in Woomera, Australia. Additional mobile launch sites could be established and utilized during the duration of the NSROC III contract. The anticipated annual launch rate for NSROC III is approximately 15-20 missions. On average throughout a year, 45-55 missions are in progress at various stages of the mission lifecycle and of varying complexities.

NASA will retain overall management of the NSRP including certain programmatic elements such as mission selection, mission management during the mission formulation phase (from mission initiation to requirements definition), funding, international agreements, grant administration, oversight and approval of the ground and flight safety process, and ownership of program assets. NASA provides management oversight of the NSROC through the NASA Contracting Officer's Representative (COR). The Contracting Officer (CO) will assign the COR who is nominated by the Chief of the Sounding Rockets Program Office. The Contractor shall report directly to the COR and CO. Authorizations and approvals will be provided through the COR and CO as

required. Direction of work will be given by NASA to the Contractor only with cognizance and approval of the COR.

For each mission NASA will assign a Sounding Rockets Program Office (SRPO) Mission Oversight Monitor (MOM) who will be responsible for managing the mission during the mission formulation phase (from the Mission Initiation Conference to the Requirements Definition Meeting) and will provide NASA oversight to the mission throughout the rest of the mission lifecycle. The SRPO MOM will manage the schedule during the mission formulation phase, will work will the Principal Investigator to define appropriate comprehensive and minimum success criteria for the mission, will set mission requirements, and will verify mission requirements are being met throughout the execution of the mission. The SRPO MOM will attend payload team meetings, milestone meetings, and will have approval authority of the Design Review and Mission Readiness Review panel membership. If the SRPO MOM is unable to attend a required mission meeting the SRPO MOM shall designate an alternate SRPO representative, the SRPO Duplicate Authority Designee (DAD), to attend in their place.

This SOW contains Core Requirements to be implemented on the effective date of the contract through the period of performance (including the end date with exercise of options). There are three areas of Core Requirements: Management, Mission Technical Requirements, and Development and Routine Projects Assignments (DRPA).

Management:

The business management and program implementation management requirements of the Core contract are described in Section 2.1 of the SOW. The Contractor shall be responsible for providing all of the technical, business, and program management functions necessary to plan, organize, administer, implement, control, track, report, and deliver all requirements within the scope of the NSROC III as described in this SOW. The Contractor shall be responsible for administrative support of its personnel, procurements, and facilities maintenance to include timely and accurate financial and status reports in accordance with the contract. The Contractor shall operate in compliance with applicable federal and state laws and within applicable government and NASA agency regulations, requirements, standards, policies, and procedures to include the documents specified in Applicable Standards and Policies Documents – Section J.1, Attachment O.

Mission Technical Requirements:

The mission technical requirements of the Core contract are described in Section 2.2 of the SOW along with a mission model that Offerors shall use for bidding purposes in their proposals. The NSROC III Contractor shall be responsible for the technical effort required for coordination and implementation of the overall NSRP and be responsible for providing services and supplies (i.e. labor, material, equipment, etc.) as necessary to implement the individual missions and projects at all launch sites, including remote sites. As such, the Contractor shall design, analyze, fabricate, integrate, and perform flight qualification testing of sub-orbital payloads; provide launch vehicles and associated hardware; and provide support of subsequent mission launch operations.

The number of missions launched and complexity of missions given to the NSROC III Contractor as the annual mission model will vary depending on the type of science missions selected by NASA Headquarters annually, but the overall level of effort with the 45-55 missions in progress at all times along with the other core requirements required maintains a balanced work load for the NSROC III contractor staff. Thus, in one year there may be a greater number of higher complexity missions launched, but a lower overall launch rate; or, in another year there may be a greater number of lower complexity missions launched, but a higher overall launch rate.

Development and Routine Project Assignments (DRPA):

The development and routine projects that will be assigned to the NSROC III Contractor are described in Section 2.3 of the SOW along with a DRPA model that Offerors shall use for bidding purposes in their proposals. The DRPA work is assigned in addition to the sounding rocket missions; thus the amount of annual DRPA work assigned each fiscal year will fluctuate depending on the workload effort required by the annual mission model selected by NASA Headquarters. The Contractor shall be responsible for providing support for the NSRP in the following areas not directly related to implementation of NSRP missions including, but not limited to:

Technical

- Design and analysis (mechanical, structural, electrical, electronic, flight performance, flight dynamics, control system, software, etc.);
- o Fabrication (mechanical, structural, electrical, electronic, etc.);
- o Environmental testing of flight and ground support hardware and systems;
- o Ground Support Equipment (GSE) design, fabrication, maintenance, modification, upgrade, and refurbishment;
- o Engineering analytical support and data reduction;
- Development of new or modification of existing sounding rocket launch vehicles, payload support systems and subsystems, and components;
- Major efforts related to installation, reconfiguration, refurbishment, or upgrades of sounding rocket launchers;
- New sensor (pointing, position, environmental conditions, etc.) development for flight and ground systems;
- Software development;
- o Special engineering and environmental studies and support;
- o General drafting and technical support; and
- o Field, launch range, and post flight operations.

• Technical Management

- o Project management support;
- o Internships, education and outreach activities; and
- Reimbursable mission feasibility studies and planning activities.

Technical Administration

Engineering and technician support related to inspection and acceptance testing of all program supplies including the acquisition of new materials, commercially available rocket motors, equipment, hardware, components, and standard flight systems and subsystems (payload and vehicle) for

Program inventory; and

 Refurbishment of hardware and systems returned to inventory for reutilization on future missions.

2. CORE REQUIREMENTS

2.1.MANAGEMENT

The Contractor shall provide all technical, business, and program management functions necessary to plan, organize, implement, control, track, report, and deliver all requirements within the scope of the NSROC III as described in this SOW. Business management and program implementation shall include all elements in Subsections 2.1.1 through 2.1.2 of this section and any other functions determined by the Contractor to be necessary based on their technical and business approach to contract performance. The Contractor shall provide and implement these functions in accordance with a comprehensive and coordinated management approach.

The Government shall create, provide, and maintain a Contract Work Breakdown Structure (CWBS) organized to graphically reflect the desired approach to providing the major performance outputs required by the NSROC. This Work Breakdown Structure is provided in Section J.1, Attachment K and the Contractor shall follow it as a basis for contract planning, budgeting, scheduling, and costing in addition to tracking and reporting to the Government.

The Contractor shall staff specified positions with personnel that meet the minimum qualifications as set forth in Contract Section J, Attachment R, Government's Minimum Qualifications for Critical Positions.

2.1.1. BUSINESS MANAGEMENT

The Contractor shall provide for the NSROC III contract management, reviews, and reporting; financial resources management; subcontract management; information and document management; and utilization of Government Property.

2.1.1.1. CONTRACT MANAGEMENT, REVIEWS, AND REPORTING

The Contractor shall provide overall management of the prime contract requirements. The Contractor shall provide for informal technical interchange between contractor and NASA personnel as requested by NASA (including other NASA contractor personnel) for the purpose of assuring insight into problems involved in the performance of NSROC III requirements. The Contractor shall develop and maintain all documentation and reporting required by the SOW and the contract schedule. The CWBS shall serve as the framework for all reporting to NASA.

2.1.1.2. FINANCIAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

As a minimum, the resource management system shall track and report (in accordance with the financial reporting requirements of Section J.1, Attachment E) on individual work orders issued for both missions and individual DRPA projects.

The Contractor shall participate in a monthly Financial Status Review, described further in Section 2.1.2.4, to provide financial status and planning required to support the Government budget planning process. The Contractor and Government shall jointly discuss planned material purchases and projected work force resource requirements and evaluate against the mission manifest. At each monthly Financial Status Review the Contractor shall provide the financial status of planned versus actual spending, review materials budget by discipline and the status of procurements in each area, discuss any vendor or supply chain issues, summarize costing of major acquisitions if funds extend through multiple fiscal years, and review the projected cost and work force requirements for the next reporting period. The format and content of the Contractor's inputs and supporting rationale shall be in accordance with the budget or special request guidelines and reporting format specified by NASA.

2.1.1.3. WORK ORDER TRACKING

Missions and DRPA projects will be assigned to the Contractor by SRPO through work orders. The Contractor shall develop a work order tracking and reporting process for managing SRPO requests and work activities under the contract. This process shall include the capability to track costs, status of requests (as appropriate), and provide workload data and costs for individual work orders issued under the contract down to CWBS Level 4 in Section J.1, Attachment K. The overall process shall is not required to be a single integrated information system with the ability to produce reports for the Government summarizing historical data from work orders throughout the life of the contract. The work order system shall be made available to designated SRPO personnel and available through the Well Organized Central Repository (WOCR) identified in Section 2.1.1.5., but may consist of multiple independent systems and processes.

2.1.1.4. SUBCONTRACT MANAGEMENT

The Contractor shall provide all management functions for all interdivisional, subcontractor, and major vendor activities necessary to accomplish the NSROC III requirements.

As part of its phase-in activities, the Government will provide the Contractor with a report detailing the long-lead items (i.e. rocket motors, boost guidance systems, recovery systems, etc.) that the incumbent contractor for NSROC II has subcontracted to purchase, but that will not be delivered under the NSROC II contract. By the end of the phase-in period for the NSROC III contract, the Contractor shall assume responsibility for these subcontracts by an appropriate contract mechanism such as novation agreement.

Thirty days prior to the end of the NSROC III contract period, the Contractor shall submit to the Government a report detailing the long-lead items (i.e. rocket motors, boost guidance systems, recovery systems, etc.) that will not be delivered within a one year period after the end of the period of performance of the NSROC III contract, and the Contractor shall turn over responsibility for these subcontracts to the incoming Contractor by the end of the phase-in period for the follow-on contract.

2.1.1.5. INFORMATION AND DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT

The Contractor shall assume responsibility for, maintain, and enhance the Well Organized Central Repository (WOCR) for all documentation and reporting information required under this contract. The Contractor shall modify as necessary, maintain, and operate this repository so that NASA and NASA designated personnel (including as necessary, NASA contractor, and other personnel) can easily and routinely access, locate, and utilize the documentation and reporting information contained therein. Access to the repository shall be available through the Wallops Local Area Network (LAN) and shall be organized such that access can be restricted in accordance with requirements associated with the various types of information (i.e. contract, financial, technical, and historical). The repository and system utilized for its operation shall not employ any proprietary Contractor software and shall be structured so that it will be easily transitioned to follow on contracts. All documentation and reporting information contained therein shall be the property of NASA in accordance with the Data Rights clauses of this contract.

Information that shall be maintained within the repository includes, but is not limited to: Contract Work Breakdown Structure; Overall Programmatic Schedule for all missions; Occupational Safety and Health Plan/Manual; Quality Manual; Configuration Management Control Plan; Training Plan and Training, License, and Permit Records; Risk Management Information for each mission or project; all required Annual and Monthly Reports; Resource Management System Data; Financial reports; Quality Assurance (QA) and any other audit reports, including but not limited to – software assurance, Quality Audit Assessment and Review (QAAR), Anomaly Investigation Board (AIB), International Organization for Standardization (ISO), Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA); export control technical assistance agreement (TAA) documentation/status; Information Technology (IT) security documentation; current upto-date electronic copy of the NSROC III contract, including mods; and links or other forms of electronic access to all technical and safety documentation associated with each NSROC III sounding rocket mission and the overall program including analyses, designs, drawings, schematics, procedures, and flight performance reports.

Minimum documentation for each mission shall include: all Mission Initiation Conference, Requirements Definition Meeting, Design Review Meeting, Mission Readiness Review Meeting, and Action Item assignment and disposition documentation; Preliminary Hazard Assessments; Flight Requirements Plans; Flight Worthiness Assessments; Range Safety Data Packages; Mission Closeout Reports; as built fabrication documentation including specifications, drawings, wiring books, and

schematics; related quality control and configuration management documentation. Ground and Flight Safety Plans; wind weighting data at time of launch; and all anomaly and failure reports. Paper archives are permissible for completed motor logs and vehicle/payload inspection, test and assembly procedures; and similar hand-written records. The Contractor shall maintain all old data (i.e. designs, schematics, flight data, etc.) either in hard copies or ecopies. SRPO concurrence is required before disposal of any obsolete data.

The repository shall also include a database of Lessons Learned organized such that it can be searched and reviewed by discipline and function so that past experiences can be applied to current and future missions to facilitate efficiencies and prevent the recurrence of negative events.

The Contractor shall provide, maintain, and update pertinent historical data relative to sounding rockets and sounding rocket missions including but not limited to: flight designation; launch and flight performance statistics; payload properties; vehicle type; mission results; etc.

The Contractor shall also maintain the NSRP Empirical Flight Dispersion Database as part of the repository. The Contractor shall update the Empirical Flight Dispersion Database, with NSROC III and other relevant sounding rocket mission data and information as post-flight reports are completed. Inputs shall include, but not be limited to: type of launch vehicle; predicted and actual performance specifications; payload physical properties; vehicle and payload failures and associated causes; and empirical dispersions.

2.1.1.6. GOVERNMENT PROPERTY

The Contractor shall operate, maintain, and provide sustaining engineering, supply chain management, and logistics support for all Installation-Accountable Government Property furnished and utilized for performance of the contract effort. This includes: mechanical and electrical fabrication facilities, telemetry ground stations, attitude control system laboratories and facilities, and environmental testing facilities located at Wallops Flight Facility; and environmental testing, telemetry tracking, data acquisition, and up-link command facilities located at White Sands Missile Range. A listing of Installation Provided Government Property is provided in Section J.1, Attachment B.

The Contractor shall honor requests (as approved by the COR and on a non-interference basis) from other government agencies, other Wallops support contractors and government personnel, and universities and scientists supporting activities related to sounding rocket operations for short term loans of contract property.

The Contractor shall track, maintain, and provide sustaining engineering for government owned sounding rocket launchers, including those mobile and permanently installed launchers on Wallops Island and those semi-permanently installed at White Sands

Missile Range, NewMexico; Poker Flat Research Range, Alaska; Andoya Rocket Range in Andoya, Norway; Reagan Test Site in Kwajalein Atoll; and Woomera Test Site in Woomera, Australia. This includes preventative maintenance, launcher modifications and reconfiguration, functional tests, load tests, spare parts inventory, documentation, and engineering analysis as required to support SRPO missions. Other major launcher maintenance and refurbishment efforts outside the scope of routine maintenance and configuration will have work orders assigned under DRPA. A list of Government owned sounding rocket launchers is included in Table 1.

Table 1. Government Owned Sounding Rocket Launchers by Launch Sites

LAUNCH SITE	LAUNCHER DESCRIPTION	LAUNCHER CONDITION
Wallong Island	50k, Pad 1	Installed and functional
Wallops Island, Virginia	ARC, Pad 2	Installed and functional
viigilia	MRL, Pad 2 (MOBILE)	Installed and functional
White Sands, New	Athena	Installed and functional
<u>Mexico</u>	MRL	Installed and functional
	MRL, 7.5k, Pad 1	Installed and functional
Poker Flat Research	AML, 20k, Pad 3	Installed and functional
Range, Alaska	Athena, Pad 4	Installed and functional
	Super-HAD, Pad 5	Installed and functional
Andoya SPACE	Athena	Installed and functional
CENTER Rocket		
Range, Norway		
	AML, 20k	Installed and functional
Reagan Test Site,	MRL, 7.5k	Pedestal installed, boom in
Kwajalein Atoll		storage box at Kwajalein
Kwajaiciii 71toii	Super-HAD (MOBILE)	Launcher in storage box at
		Kwajalein
	Super-HAD (MOBILE)	Launcher in storage box at
		Wallops Flight Facility
Mobile Launchers -	MRL (MOBILE)	Launcher in storage box at
Operational		White Sands Missile Range
	AML, 20k (MOBILE)	Launcher installed at Nevada
		Test Site
Mobile Launchers –	SRMML (Sounding Rocket	New build, under development.
<u>Under</u>	Medium Class Mobile	Expected completion in 2016.
<u>Development</u>	<u>Launcher</u>)	

The Contractor shall implement a comprehensive proactive maintenance program for all government property. All required maintenance shall be performed in accordance with the original manufacturer's specifications as necessary to keep the government property functioning in accordance with government safety and property management requirements and standards.

The Contractor shall interface with WICC and provide alternate Facility Operation Management personnel for each real property (i.e. buildings, structures, systems, and subsystems) that is used or occupied by the NSROC III Contractor for contract use. The Facility Operations Manager (FOM) will interface with the Wallops Facilities

Management Branch for real property maintenance, repair, modification, emergency preparedness, and construction activities per NASA GPR 8830. Note a list of applicable and required policies and standards is provided in Section J.1, Attachment O of the contract. The Contractor shall be responsible in meeting and adhering to all applicable government policies, directives, standards, revisions, and updates.

The existing GSFC/WFF Recertification (RECERT) Program will provide RECERT services to the Contractor for equipment and facilities located at WFF. These services shall be limited to the performance of the frequent and periodic inspections for Lifting Devices and Equipment (LDE) and non-flight pressure vessels and systems. This includes performing the required formal load test for LDE. As part of these services, the RECERT program will also provide formal training for LDE operators.

The Contractor may use the following institutional services, to the extent they are available, in the performance of this contract. The Contractor's decision to use these services shall not in any way relieve the Contractor of responsibility for performance under this contract. Utilization of these services shall be accomplished through the use of a job order number authorized by the Sounding Rockets Program Office.

- (i) General purpose vehicle operations for vehicle maintenance;
- (ii) Moving and hauling services;
- (iii) Rigging and heavy lifting of program hardware;
- (iv) Services of the WFF Antenna Range.
- (v) Reproduction services as available at the Wallops Duplicating Facility, Building F-1.
- (vi) Transportation and shipping for domestic shipments on a third party basis.
- (vii) The Contractor may use on a rent-free non-interference basis the following Government-owned equipment as may be required in performance of this contract at Wallops Flight Facility, White Sands Missile Range, the United States Army Kwajalein Atoll, and at other locations as may be approved by the contracting officer. The Contractor is responsible for ensuring that all operators have been properly trained and certified, as applicable, to use the provided equipment.
 - a. Forklifts;
 - b. Tow Carts;
 - c. Cranes; and
 - d. Personnel Lifts.
- (viii) Calibration services as available at the Wallops Calibration Laboratory.

The Contractor shall specify a Facility Operations Management (FOM) site lead for the White Sands, New Mexico Vehicle Assembly Building (VAB) facility. The FOM will be the day-to-day interface with the White Sands Missile Range NAVY and ARMY organizations, as well as NASA's White Sands Test Facility (WSTF) personnel for property maintenance, repair, modification, emergency preparedness, testing/certification, and construction activities. The Contractor shall utilize the White Sands Test Facility (WSTF) for calibration services of non-flight, facility-related hardware. The Contractor shall keep the SRPO Operations Manager apprised of all such activities.

The Contractor shall provide property management system support that meets the requirements of FAR 45-Government Property and NFS 1845-Government Property. The NSROC III Contractor shall track all Institutional Accountable Government Property drawn from Program Stock. This information shall be provided in spreadsheet format to the COR on a monthly basis and shall contain the item description, cost, and work order number to which it was assigned. The Contractor shall participate in audits and reviews, as deemed necessary by the government, for checking the maintenance and accuracy of their property management system support.

The Contractor shall procure, receive, and accept into inventory as necessary, all program supplies such as material, hardware, components, and equipment required for implementation of the NASA Sounding Rockets Program. The requirements for procuring inventory items along with the labor required for inspection, refurbishment, and testing (if required) will be assigned as a DRPA work order.

NASA will obtain surplus military hardware and motors as required by the NASA Sounding Rockets Program and will subsequently provide them to the Contractor for use as government furnished equipment.

The Contractor shall be responsible for procuring commercially available rocket motors for the NSRP. The Contractor shall monitor both programmatic progress of the rocket motor vendor and technical performance of the rocket motor. The Contractor shall establish a formal hardware acceptance process for the rocket motors. The Contractor shall also keep SRPO fully informed in procurement, programmatic, and technical decisions regarding rocket motors. This includes the Contractor providing the Government the opportunity to participate in meetings with the vendor pertaining to programmatic and technical decisions.

The legacy commercial rocket motors purchased in support of the NSRP are the Black Brant sustainer and the Nihka exo-atmospheric rocket motors, both of which are manufactured by Magellan Aerospace. NASA has also provided several Oriole sustainer rocket motors to NSROC that were flown in support of the NSRP. The Oriole sustainer rocket motor is manufactured by ATK.

The Contractor shall procure either the legacy rocket motors or comparable, flight-qualified motors including associated flight-qualified hardware. NASA defines

comparable as equivalent in performance, aeroelastic stability, mechanical interfaces, and compatible with existing NSRP infrastructure (i.e. launchers, GSE, ground handling equipment, etc.) and sub-systems (i.e. boost guidance system, ignition system, etc.). NASA defines flight qualified as a minimum of one flight test in a representative sounding rocket vehicle configuration with a qualified and available Flight Termination System approved by WFF Flight Safety and WSMR Missile Flight Safety. Prior to utilization in the Program the Contractor shall submit to the Government sufficient data to substantiate the flight qualification and acceptance for use in the Program.

The Contractor shall develop a stock and inventory management system to track and control all program supplies to ensure that all are available to support contract requirements when needed. The Contractor shall provide support for the acquisition, utilization, refurbishment, and disposal of program supplies so as to minimize contract cost to the government. The Contractor shall identify excess and obsolete supplies and provide notification to the government for approval for disposal. The Contractor shall maintain assigned property including documentation indicating type and date of maintenance and calibrations performed. The Contractor shall also provide all equipment calibrations necessary to keep instruments operating within manufacturer's specifications.

For rocket motors and pyrotechnic devices, the Contractor shall keep and make available to NASA records which document continuous compliance with manufacturer's storage, transport, and operational recommendations. The Contractor shall be responsible for maintaining and operating assigned explosive storage areas to all applicable safety requirements. The Contractor shall support other non-Sounding Rockets Program Office codes, agencies, and tenants in meeting explosive/hazardous material storage requirements. The Contractor shall provide support, as necessary, to all incoming and outgoing explosive shipments at Wallops Flight Facility. The Contractor shall provide technical support to the Wallops Flight Facility Safety and Environmental Offices for any explosive/ordnance issues that may arise.

2.1.2. PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION

The NSROC III Contractor shall implement the following: contract transition phase in and phase out; required reviews; a safety and quality assurance program; configuration management; risk management (both programmatic and mission risks); systems engineering; and a training and certification. Further, the Contractor shall provide program support for SRPO identified activities, comply with export regulations, and utilize government provided office automation and devices services.

2.1.2.1. CONTRACT TRANSITION

The Contractor shall assume full responsibility for all contractual obligations beginning with the effective date of the contract. The Contractor shall complete the inventory of all Installation-Accountable Government Property within ninety (90) calendar days of the effective date of the contract. Such property shall be assumed to be reasonably

acceptable for use in the execution of this contract unless the contractor documents otherwise and obtains concurrence from the government.

As stated in Section 2.1.1.4, as part of its phase-in activities, the Government will provide the Contractor with a report detailing the long-lead items (i.e. rocket motors, boost guidance systems, recovery systems, etc.) that the incumbent contractor for NSROC II has subcontracted to purchase, but that will not be delivered under the NSROC II contract. By the end of the phase-in period for the NSROC III contract, the Contractor shall assume responsibility for these subcontracts by an appropriate contract mechanism such as novation agreement.

As of the effective date of the contract, the Contractor will be provided operational and storage facilities for the conduct of the contract. However, during the term of the contract, the Contractor may be required to relocate personnel and functions to alternate facilities as directed by the Wallops Facilities Management Branch for such issues as renovations, modifications, and health and safety. The Contractor is responsible for assigning office spaces within the facility provided, for ensuring that telephone, computer network drops, and other necessary infrastructure services are arranged for and in-place prior to relocation, and for coordinating employee packing and moves to coincide with any moving requirements. The Contractor has the same coordinating responsibilities for relocating back to the primary worksite should this become necessary.

The Wallops Facilities Management Branch will invite the Contractor to participate in the planning for the work to be accomplished in buildings/spaces assigned to the contractor. It is the Contractor's responsibility to ensure that planning input is made to permit for the continuity of Contractor operations to meet mission schedules.

2.1.2.2. CONTRACT TRANSITION PHASE OUT

The Contractor shall make available to the successor contractor all written and electronic operating instructions, maintenance instructions, documentation, company names and contact information (address, phone number, etc.) of vendors, hardware/software utilities, and all other procedures acquired at the beginning of the NSROC III contract and subsequently developed in the performance of this contract. The Contractor shall also make available to the successor contractor all written and electronic copies of all historic data, including but not limited to: tests; reports; procedures; schematics; drawings; and diagrams developed and documented in the performance of this contract. This documentation shall be transferred to the successor contractor by the start date of the follow on contract.

The Contractor shall cooperate to effect an orderly and efficient transition to any such successor contractor during a transition period to be specified by the CO.

To effect this transition, the Contractor shall provide a Phase-Out Plan 90 days after request by the Contracting Officer. The Plan shall conform to the content prescribed by the Contracting Officer.

Ongoing operations shall be coordinated with management and administrative personnel of the successor contractor. A current listing of all Installation-Accountable Government Property, effective as of the end of the contract period, shall be provided. The Contractor agrees to cooperate with NASA in completing the transfer of all Installation-Accountable Government Property to the successor contractor.

As stated in Section 2.1.1.4, thirty days prior to the end of the NSROC III contract period, the Contractor shall submit to the Government a report detailing the long-lead items (i.e. rocket motors, boost guidance systems, recovery systems, etc.) that will not be delivered within a one year period after the end of the period of performance of the NSROC III contract, and the Contractor shall turn over responsibility for these subcontracts to the incoming Contractor by the end of the phase-in period for the follow-on contract.

2.1.2.3. MISSION AND DRPA PLANNING AND SCHEDULING

The Contractor shall provide staffing for each mission and DRPA project beginning at the Mission Initiation Conference (MIC) for missions and the kick-off meeting for DRPA projects. The Contractor shall perform all planning and scheduling associated with individual mission requirements after the completion of the SRPO led mission formulation phase, and all DRPA requirements throughout the duration of the project. Each mission and DRPA project shall have a team assigned from all relevant disciplines and shall be planned and scheduled so that it does not interfere with the timely and cost efficient completion of all other ongoing missions and DRPA projects. The Contractor shall manage workforce capabilities during period of low workload requirements and shall augment the workforce capabilities during periods of high workload requirements to meet varying mission and DRPA requirements.

The Contractor shall furnish accurate and timely scheduling information for both DRPA projects and missions that provides the government sufficient insight into the Contractor's ability to: analyze, mitigate, and control scheduling risks; maintain programmatic flexibility; maximize performance; and optimize the use of available resources.

The Contractor shall develop, implement, and maintain an overall integrated schedule for all missions reflecting, as a minimum, the planned schedule for the following milestones: Actual Mission Initiation Conference (MIC) date and actual Requirements Definition Meeting (RDM) date; planned design, Design Review (DR), fabrication and assembly, Pre-Integration Review (PIR), integration and testing, Mission Readiness Review (MRR), field operations, launch date, post flight activities including the delivery of all data products, and Mission Close-out Report (MCR). The mission schedule shall identify the resources required for each mission relative to the planned activity and have the capability to identify conflicts for these resources between the various missions. The overall integrated mission schedule shall be provided and maintained in accordance with the requirements of each mission.


The overall mission schedule shall be reviewed with NASA on a monthly basis at the Mission Scheduling Meeting, described in Section 2.1.2.4. The DRPA projects schedule information shall be reviewed with NASA on a monthly basis at the DRPA Projects Review, described in Section 2.1.2.4.

2.1.2.4. REQUIRED REVIEWS AND MEETINGS


The Contractor shall participate in, and provide technical support for programmatic meetings, internal NSROC meetings with SRPO presence, and mission related meetings as detailed in Table 2. Additional meetings shall be supported by the Contractor as deemed necessary by SRPO. These meetings and reviews include presentations and discussions on the Contractor's implementation status, presentations on programmatic risks, impacts on the Program due to proposed requirement changes or budget and funding conditions, or any aspect of the program for which the Contractor is responsible. The Contractor shall provide a written weekly programmatic status report to the SRPO Chief and participate in informal weekly meetings with SRPO upon request.

Table 2. Required Reviews and Meetings


	ined Reviews and	PROGRAMMATIC MEETINGS			
MEETING NAME	MINIMUM FREQUENCY	DESCRIPTION	DELIVERABLE	SRPO ROLE	NSROC III PANEL/BOARD MEMBERSHIP
NSROC-SRPO Program Review	Monthly	Comprehensive program status review that covers high level technical issues, programmatic risks, mission schedules and upcoming milestones, staffing levels, resource status, training activities, outreach activities, facilities status and open/planned work orders, development efforts status, identification of critical issues, production status, safety and mission assurance status (deliverables, audits, inspections, ISO surveillance, non-conformances, corrective actions, change requests, GIDEP), business status (spending levels and planned spending), and subcontracts status.	Presentation that addresses the items listed in the description.	SRPO Chief SRPO COR and SRPO technical staff attend	NSROC III Management
Mission Scheduling Review	Monthly	Technical status and schedule of mission manifest, high level discussion of mission-related risks, programmatic risks, critical issues, or systemic issues	Current Master Mission Schedule	SRPO Chief and SRPO MOMs attend	NSROC III Management and Operations Staff as needed
Financial Status Review	Monthly	Review Contractor financial status, review planned versus actual spending in a format defined by the SRPO, review materials budget by discipline and status of procurements in each area, discuss any vendor or supply chain issues, summarize costing of major acquisitions if funds extend through multiple fiscal years.	Monthly 533	SRPO Chief and SRPO COR attend	NSROC III Management and Finance Personnel
Sounding Rocket Working Group	Bi-Annual	Twice yearly meeting between NASA SRPO, NSROC, and the Principal Investigator community (led by the NASA Project Scientist) to provide a forum for exchange of information on sounding rocket systems, operational support, new developments within NSROC, and developments in science as they affect the Sounding Rockets Program.	Presentation on NSROC status, critical issues, and new developments	SRPO Chief and Staff attend and present	NSROC III Management and Engineering Leads (if relevant to presentation)
Launch Range Reviews	As needed	Brief launch ranges as needed/requested on status of vehicles, sub-systems, safety systems, ground support equipment, or other mission-specific or programmatic items as determined by SRPO.	Support SRPO with presentation development	SRPO technical staff attend and may present	Determined on case-by- case basis
Technical Interchange Meetings	As needed	Provide guidance to and answer questions for prospective customers regarding sounding rocket capabilities and common practices. Engage in preliminary mission concept design and concept of operations planning.	Analyses and design concepts as requested by SRPO	SRPO technical staff attend and may present	N/A
Critical Systems Program Reviews	As needed	Provide programmatic and technical status for critical systems that are sub-contracted to external vendors. Programmatic and technical topics should include schedule updates for manufacturing and delivery, contract status, recent flight/test results, non-conformances and dispositions, supply chain status, programmatic and technical risks, and additional technical topics as deemed relevant by SRPO, NSROC III, and the sub-contracted vendor.	Presentation that addresses the items listed in the description.	SRPO technical staff attend	NSROC III Management and Technical Personnel, Sub-contractor (Vendor) Programmatic and Technical Personnel
		NSROC INTERNAL MEETINGS WITH SRPO PRESE			
MEETING NAME	MINIMUM FREQUENCY	DESCRIPTION	DELIVERABLE	SRPO ROLE	PANEL/BOARD MEMBERSHIP
Mission Status Meeting	Weekly	Review the progress and status of missions. Review challenges or issues that are affecting the missions. Discuss mission priorities to de-conflict program resources, personnel, or facilities.	Current Master Mission Schedule	SRPO MOMs attend	NSROC III Management, Engineering Leads, and Fabrication Leads



Material Review Board (MRB)	Weekly	Disposition non-conformances, review status of engineering design changes, and review programmatic level corrective actions. Review status of overdue items periodically.	None	SRPO Technical Representative attend	NSROC III Management, SMA Lead, CM Lead, and Engineering Dept. Leads
Configuration Control Board (CCB)	Monthly – Rotate among disciplines	Identify all NSROC standard systems for each engineering discipline related to flight hardware, ground infrastructure, or major processes. The board shall have a governing document that identifies each system and defines all the drawings, schematics, procedures, work instructions, and associated reports. The board shall also identify cognizant engineers for all standard systems and peer review design changes for standard systems as they arise.	Updated Configuration Control Document	SRPO Technical Representative attend	NSROC Management Representative, CM Lead, and Engineering Dept. Leads
Development and Routine Project Assignments (DRPA)	Monthly – Rotate among disciplines	Review status and schedule of development efforts and routine projects program wide that sustain and enhance the capability of the Sounding Rockets Program. Topics to cover include inventory maintenance and procurement (including status of purchased off the shelf items, purchased major sub-systems, made in-house major sub-systems, and sub-system refurbishment); ground support equipment procurement, development, and maintenance; facilities maintenance; outreach activities; and internal engineering, testing, or production development projects to standardize, modernize, or enhance the capabilities of the sounding rocket program.	Presentation on status of development and routine assignments	SRPO Technical Representative attend	NSROC management and Engineering Dept. Leads
Fabrication Status Meeting	Weekly	Review mechanical and electrical fabrication resource loading and prioritization of work in queue.	None	SRPO Technical Representative attendance optional	NSROC Management Representative, Fabrication and and Engineering Dept. Leads
		MISSION MEETINGS (additional detail in Section 2			
MEETING NAME	MINIMUM FREQUENCY	DESCRIPTION	DELIVERABLE	SRPO ROLE	PANEL/BOARD MEMBERSHIP
Payload Team Meetings	As needed	Held periodically during the course of a mission to gauge progress, disseminate information, resolve issues, and maintain schedules.	Action Item List for Team	SRPO MOM Participant	Assigned Mission Team
Mission Initiation Conference (MIC) (NASA meeting)	Each mission	SRPO Mission Oversight Monitor chairs the meeting and the Principal Investigator presents the mission overview; science objectives; and preliminary performance, instrumentation, operational, and schedule requirements to the NSROC team to evaluate during the mission formulation phase.	None	SRPO MOM Chair	NSROC Management Representative, Assigned Mission Team
Requirements Definition Meeting (RDM) (NASA meeting)	Each mission	SRPO MOM chairs the meeting with support from the NSROC mission manager and payload team to present a mission plan that addresses each of the requirements presented at the MIC. The Mission Plan includes the vehicle configuration, mission schedule, preliminary flight performance assessment, preliminary hazard assessment, and a detailed requirements matrix.	RDM Package RDM Memo (RDMM) Requirements Matrix	SRPO MOM Chair	NSROC Management Representative, Assigned Mission Team, Engineering Dept. Leads
Fabrication Meeting	As needed	The fabrication meeting enables NSROC engineering and fabrication to meet and discuss the fabrication requirements and timelines for vehicle and payload hardware to be manufactured for each mission.	None	SRPO MOM Participant	NSROC Management Representative, Fabrication Leads, relevant Mission Team members
Design Review (DR)	Each mission	The Design Review verifies that the detailed design meets all requirements established at the RDM. All aspects of payload design are discussed and disseminated across all disciplines. Design parameters are summarized, design problems are addressed and resolved, and, should	Design Review Package and Presentation	SRPO MOM Participant and Panel Member	NSROC Management Representative, Assigned Mission Team,



		they be required, new designs are verified as complete and ready for fabrication.	DR Memo (DRMM)		Assigned Panel Chair and Panel Members
Pre-Integration Review (PIR)	Each mission	The integration and testing process for integration of the payload and the flight vehicle is reviewed. At this meeting, the payload, vehicle elements, test plans/procedures, test equipment, facilities and personnel are thoroughly evaluated to ensure successful integration.	None	SRPO MOM Participant	NSROC Management Representative, Assigned Mission Team,
		equipment, tacinities and personner are thoroughly evaluated to ensure successful integration.			Engineering Dept. Leads
Mission Readiness Review (MRR)	Each mission	Reviews the changes since the design review and the test results from the integration and testing mission phase. Verifies that each system – telemetry, electrical, mechanical, environmental, range safety has been checked to ensure a successful mission.	Mission Readiness Review Package and Presentation MRR Memo (MRRM)	SRPO MOM Participant and Panel Member	NSROC Management Representative, Assigned Mission Team, Assigned Panel Chair and Panel Members
Launch Readiness Review (LRR)	Each mission	Reviews the post MRR state of the mission and open items to be closed prior to launch authorization. Polls relevant disciplines and parties to determine launch readiness and confidence in the mission to meet the defined requirements and success criteria.	LRR Memo	SRPO MOM Participant	NSROC Management, Mission Manager, relevant Mission Team members
Data Review	Each mission	Meeting occurs approximately 2-3 weeks after launch and allows each engineering discipline team member to brief their system performance and note any anomalies or failures that require additional analysis during the mission closeout phase.	None	SRPO MOM Participant	NSROC Management Representative, Assigned Mission Team, Engineering Dept. Leads
Lessons Learned Review	Each mission	Post-launch review with the field team to capture and communicate lessons that the teams have learned through their work on the mission. Process should capture a lesson, communicate the lesson, and then resolve the lesson.	Add lessons learned to WOCR database	No SRPO Participation	NSROC Management Representative, Assigned Mission Team



2.1.2.5. SAFETY PROGRAM

The Contractor shall develop and implement a proactive Safety and Health Program that will satisfy all requirements outlined in Sections 2.1.2.5.1 and 2.1.2.5.2 below. SRPO will work with the Contractor during contract phase-in to develop a Safety and Health Plan, but the Contractor shall have a released and approved Safety and Health Plan on the effective date of the NSROC III contract.

The Contractor shall provide an organizational structure that will ensure safety oversight and reporting are independent of specific engineering, manufacturing, or other project influences. A single point of contact shall be identified for all efforts and issues related to implementation of this Safety and Health Program. The Contractor shall provide a written plan/manual for approval by NASA that fully describes the Safety and Health Program and provides all necessary information and documentation so that NASA can perform a complete review and verification of the Contractor's Safety and Health Program. The Contractor shall support periodic review and audit functions conducted by the NASA Safety Office. This may include, but is not limited to, review of: Health and Safety Plans; inspection and corrective action reports; mishap reports; hazardous procedures; and/or in-process operations. Since many of the actions performed under this contract have the potential to impact NASA Employees and other NASA Contracts, the Contractor shall be an active member in the Wallops Contractor Safety Council.

The Wallops Safety Office must approve the design and operational processes associated with hazardous systems flown by the NSRP prior to their use. As such, the Contractor shall maintain close coordination with the Wallops Safety Office on these systems to ensure safety requirements are satisfied.

The Contractor shall reimburse the Government for any civil or criminal fines or penalties resulting from any health and safety or environmental infractions caused by the contractor's negligence. Smoking policy, while on duty, shall conform to NASA and Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) standards.

2.1.2.5.1. GROUND AND FLIGHT SAFETY IMPLEMENTATION FOR RANGE AND LAUNCH OPERATIONS

All work performed under the contract shall be in conformance with all WFF, GSFC, NASA, and other governmental safety regulations, requirements, and statutes. Ground and flight safety requirements for sounding rocket vehicles, payloads, and related sub systems are contained in the Range Safety Manual (RSM-2002) Rev. C (or latest released revision) for Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC)/Wallops Flight Facility (WFF). The RSM contains specific design requirements for flight and ground systems and describes data that must be supplied to the Wallops Flight Facility Safety Office to obtain NASA safety approval for launch systems. Institutional safety requirements are contained in NPR 8715.3 and are also addressed in Section 2.1.2.5.2 below.

Under the direction of the SRPO MOM, the NSROC III Contractor shall work with the Principal Investigator during the mission formulation phase to identify any hazardous systems or ground support equipment used by the experiment team and acquire the necessary documentation and procedures to have the equipment reviewed by the safety offices to gain approval to use the equipment for integration, test, launch operations, or flight.

Under the direction of the SRPO MOM, the Contractor shall develop a Preliminary Hazard Assessment for each mission to be included in the Requirements Definition Meeting package, presented at the RDM, and provided directly to the Wallops Safety Office. This hazard assessment shall include a listing of any items that have the potential to require a waiver at the designated launch range.

The Contractor shall develop a preliminary Flight Worthiness Assessment for each mission to be included in the Design Review package, presented at the DR, and provided directly to the Wallops Safety Office. This preliminary Flight Worthiness Assessment shall include a summary of all deviations from nominal flight worthiness design criteria, rationale for exceeding the nominal design criteria (if applicable), a listing of any new or first use launch vehicle or safety critical flight hardware, and a summary of estimated gravimetric, impact, performance, and dispersion data. An updated Flight Worthiness Assessment (refined by updated designs, measured properties, additional analysis, etc.) shall be provided to the Wallops Safety Office ten working days prior to the Mission Readiness Review.

The Contractor shall provide a comprehensive Range Safety Data Package (RSDP) that contains all of the data required to obtain approval of the flight and ground systems to the Wallops Safety Office for each mission. The RSDP shall be provided to the Wallops Safety Office no later than 90 calendar days prior to the launch. The RSDP shall be updated as necessary when additional information becomes available that invalidates the information provided in previous submittals.

The Contractor shall also be responsible for meeting any additional safety requirements of any other domestic or foreign range utilized during the execution of this contract. Further, the Contractor is responsible to apprise itself of all changes and modifications to statutes, regulations, and procedures impacting Ground and Flight Safety.

NASA is responsible for oversight and approval of the ground and flight safety processes during the execution of all NASA assigned missions and work orders performed under this contract. As such, NASA will review and approve all Safety Plans. The Contractor shall provide all data, analysis, and information necessary for the development of Ground and Flight Safety Plans in the RSDP. The Wallops Safety Office will utilize this information to develop Ground and Flight Safety Plans for local and mobile launch operations, including foreign launch sites. The Contractor shall be responsible for implementing all of the requirements of these

NASA Ground and Flight Safety Plans for all missions and general and specific safety requirements associated with work orders. If the Contractor has reason to believe that safety plans fail to effectively address mission safety issue or impose requirements that result in higher than acceptable level of risk, the Contractor shall resolve these differences with the Wallops Safety Office. If no satisfactory resolution is found, the Contractor shall immediately inform the COR and CO of its concerns.

For hazardous operations throughout the mission lifecycle, the Contractor is responsible for providing independent NASA certified safety authorities whose function is to monitor the operations to ensure compliance with approved operating procedures and safety plans. This person or persons, designated as the Operational Safety Supervisor (OSS), shall interface directly with the NASA (or designated range) safety authority in resolving real-time safety concerns. The Wallops Safety Office will periodically audit in-process hazardous operations and/or provide an OSS for certain hazardous operations at its discretion. The Contractor shall assist in these audits and provide all relevant data to NASA Safety authorities for review and inspection.

2.1.2.5.2. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY

The Contractor shall ensure contract efforts are managed to prevent injury or damage to contractor, government, or civilian personnel or property. As such, the Contractor's Safety and Health Program shall be compliant with all Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)(29 CFR 1910) and NASA Safety (NPR 8715.3) requirements and the requirements outlined in the remainder of this section.

The Contractor shall implement and manage a Hazard Communication Program in accordance with Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Hazard Communication Standard (HCS) Subpart Z, and 29 CFR 1910.1200. This program shall be consistent with and interface to the NASA WFF Hazard Communication Plan. The Contractor shall also: submit to the COR a copy of each safety report submitted to any cognizant agency or local base authorities under this contract; report all mishaps involving damage to government property or injury to personnel (Accident / Incident Report); provide written documentation to the COR of the circumstances surrounding any potentially dangerous situation; ensure that NSROC III personnel who support emergency response are trained in accordance with 29CFR 1910.120 and 29 CFR 1926.65 (e); provide safety-engineering services to designated and/or assigned missions; ensure that Ground and Flight Safety Plans are implemented for each mission and all safety operations coordinated with the Wallops Safety Office; identify hazardous operations and support development of required procedures that cover such hazardous operations and other critical mission specific operations; and provide safety oversight to hazardous operations.

The Contractor shall be responsible for implementing all general operation (crane operation, forklift operation, etc.), personnel safety (explosives and ordnance, pressure vessels and systems, chemical, radiation, etc.) and facility (equipment

calibration, maintenance of safety devices, access control, etc.) requirements. This includes providing for the education, training, and examinations necessary for the licensing or certification of NSROC III personnel.

2.1.2.6. QUALITY ASSURANCE

The Contractor shall develop, implement, and maintain a Quality Management System that complies with ISO 9001:2008 and obtain certification from an independent auditor within eight (8) months of contract effective date. The Quality Management System shall be documented in a Quality Manual, and shall be approved by the COR. The Quality Manual is due ninety (90) calendar days after contract effective date. The Quality Management System shall be compatible and consistent with the risk posture of the Sounding Rockets Program described in Section 1.0, and shall not create unnecessary requirements that fail to produce benefits commensurate with the resources required for their implementation. The Quality Management System shall be flexible and capable of satisfying varying levels of quality control requirements as may occasionally be specifically required for individual work orders. These requirements may be either greater than or less than those required for standard sounding rockets missions.

The Contractor shall assemble a Material Review Board (MRB) that meets weekly to disposition non-conformances, review the status of engineering design changes, and review programmatic level corrective actions.

2.1.2.7. CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT

The Contractor shall provide a Configuration Management process for the development, approval, and control of all documents under the control of the Contractor. This process shall be documented in a Configuration Management Control Plan, and shall be approved by the COR. The Configuration Management Control Plan is due ninety (90) calendar days after contract effective date.

The Configuration Management Control Plan shall describe how documents (engineering drawings, schematics, wiring diagrams, procedures, specifications, etc.) are determined to be designated as controlled documents; the associated level of control required; and how such controlled documents are maintained, updated, and revised. All changes, modifications, and upgrades to controlled documents shall be accomplished following approved configuration control procedures as described in the Configuration Management Control Plan. The Configuration Management Control Plan shall be compatible with the dynamic nature of the Sounding Rockets Program and provide for responsive configuration changes as required to meet functional needs.

The Contractor shall assemble a Configuration Control Board (CCB) that meets on a monthly basis. The CCB shall identify all NSROC standard systems for each engineering discipline related to flight hardware, ground infrastructure, or major processes. The board shall have a governing document that identifies each system and defines all of the drawings, schematics, procedures, work instructions, and associated reports. The board

shall also identify cognizant engineers for all standard systems and peer review new designs and design changes for standard systems as they arise.

2.1.2.8. RISK MANAGEMENT

The Contractor shall collect, track, and mitigate significant programmatic and technical risks to the Sounding Rockets Program. The Contractor shall provide and implement a process whereby such risks can be identified and presented to SRPO at the monthly NSROC-SRPO Program Review. This process shall, as a minimum, provide the associated probability and consequence of occurrence for each risk identified, and the approach to mitigating or eliminating the risk.

Significant risks (safety, cost, schedule, and technical) associated with each mission shall be identified and tracked from mission initiation through mission completion. The Contractor shall provide and implement a process whereby such risks can be readily identified for each mission. This process shall, as a minimum, provide the associated probability and consequence of occurrence for each risk identified, and the approach to mitigating or eliminating the risk. The risk management process shall be documented in a Risk Management Plan, and shall be compatible and consistent with the risk posture of the Sounding Rockets Program as defined in Section 1.0 of the SOW, and the Sounding Rocket Program Risk Management Plan (810-RMP-0001). The risk management process shall track the risk escalation or reduction history of each risk and provide the associated reasons there for. These risks shall be documented and maintained in a Risk Matrix and be reported on at all reviews (Design, Mission Readiness, etc.) associated with the mission. The principal risks for each mission shall also be reviewed at the Monthly Scheduling Review (see Section 2.1.2.4 of this SOW). A final update shall be provided to NASA and the Principal Investigator for each mission for concurrence after it is fully staged but prior to commencement of the actual launch operations. This final update shall document how each risk was mitigated to an acceptable level. If it is not possible to mitigate all risks down to acceptable levels, justification shall be provided as to why it is appropriate to proceed with launch operations. This final version shall be documented in the Mission Closeout Report. The system for tracking these risks shall be flexible and capable of satisfying varying levels of risk management requirements as may occasionally be specifically required for individual work orders. These requirements may be either greater than or less than those required for standard sounding rockets missions.

2.1.2.9. SYSTEMS ENGINEERING

The Contractor shall implement a systems engineering process applicable to each mission. The goal of the systems engineering process should be to ensure the complex subsystems integrated into sounding rocket vehicles and payloads function together to satisfy the objectives of the overall mission. Systems engineering tools and techniques shall ensure interactions between systems and across interfaces are systematically evaluated to avoid potential conflict, interference, and/or subsystem failure. The systems engineering process shall be documented in a Systems Engineering Plan, and shall be compatible and consistent with the risk posture of the Sounding Rockets Program. The

Contactor shall ensure that individuals assigned to perform systems engineering have sufficient systems engineering expertise.

2.1.2.10. TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION

The contractor shall provide fully qualified, trained and experienced technical and lead personnel (including replacement personnel) required for performance of all areas of the Statement of Work. This includes all training and education necessary to meet initial job requirements and for keeping personnel abreast of industry advances and for maintaining proficiency in all areas of expertise as necessary to meet the requirements of of the services outlined in the Statement of Work including and not limited to equipment-use, computer languages, and computer operating systems that are available on the commercial market. Training of personnel required for implementation of the Sounding Rockets Program shall be performed and provided by the Contractor, unless NASA provided training is available. The expense of NASA specific training of personnel required for implementation of the Sounding Rockets Program (such as that listed in the second paragraph of this section) is an allowable direct cost under the contract. Training of personnel for compliance with Corporate requirements is not an allowable direct cost under the contract and the expense of such training shall be accounted for in accordance with the Contractor's standard accounting practices, shall be performed and provided by the Contractor at its own expense.

The Contractor shall provide a training program for all employees to keep them current with all aspects of their responsibilities (technical or otherwise) for implementation of the Sounding Rockets Program including any qualification, certification, and licensing required for the work being performed. The overall program shall be documented in a Training Plan. The Training Plan is due ninety (90) calendar days after contract effective date. This program will identify, maintain, schedule, conduct, and document training and certification in support of the Sounding Rockets Program for all personnel at all levels. The Contractor shall determine and provide for adequate training and certification to all personnel resulting in the understanding and qualifications necessary to perform position responsibilities. Specific aspects of the Training Plan (relating to safety, security, etc.) will be reviewed and approved by NASA where applicable. Training to be provided shall include (but not be limited to) relevant technical topics, property management. Information Technology (IT) Security, Environmental Compliance, workmanship and inspection, crane operation, forklift operation, personnel safety and health, HAZCOM, OSS, and explosives and ordnance handling. Such training shall also be made available to a limited number of NASA personnel on an as needed basis. The Contractor shall obtain all licenses and permits required for the performance of work under this contract. NASA shall retain the final approval for all Operational Safety Supervisor and Ordnance Handling Certifications. Records of all training, licenses, and permits shall be maintained by the Contractor. Completed training activities shall be documented on a monthly basis and maintained in the Well Organized Document Repository in accordance with the requirements of Section 2.1.1.45 of this SOW.

With annual SRPO concurrence on budget, schedule, and program relevance the

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Contractor has the ability to submit for special training and professional development opportunities for NSROC III staff that are job-specific (i.e. NASA training classes, industry provided classes, etc.), and exceed minimum contract requirements.

- (1) Special training is considered to be out of the ordinary training requirements to meet special requirements that are peculiar or unique to a particular work order requirement.
- (2) Special training for contractor employees to attend seminars, symposia, or user group conferences when attendance is required for the performance of requirements or beneficial to NSRP improvements and/or customer service when certified by the Contractor and the COR.

The Contractor is required to obtain the Contracting Officer's written approval for any special training requirements to be paid for by the Government, at least 15 days prior to the training need date and prior to incurring any expense.

2.1.2.11. PROGRAM SUPPORT FUNCTIONS

The Contractor shall participate in, and provide technical support for, program meetings such as Sounding Rocket Working Group meetings and other reviews as requested by the COR. This may include presentations on the Contractor's implementation status, impacts on the program due to proposed requirement changes or budget and funding conditions, or any other aspect of the program for which the Contractor is responsible. These review functions are separate from the Design Reviews and Mission Readiness Reviews associated with individual missions as described in Section 2.2 of this SOW.

The Sounding Rockets Program Office develops and submits proposals to capture new work in response to opportunities and inquiries from other government agencies, NASA Headquarters, and other NASA centers. The Contractor shall be responsible for developing technical and costing information supporting the associated responses as requested by the COR.

The Contractor shall also provide technical and costing information supporting the development of presentations, reports, plans, position papers, etc. necessary for the routine and special reporting requirements of the Sounding Rockets Program Office. These may include inputs for routine senior management reporting, mishap or other investigations, program implementation plans, technology development plans, special project plans, etc.

2.1.2.12. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA EXPORT REGULATIONS

The Contractor shall be responsible for the timely completion of all relevant requirements of International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) 22 C.F.R. Chapter I, Subchapter M Parts 120-130. This includes developing and obtaining all required approvals for any Technical Assistance Agreements or Technology Control Plans that may be necessary as

a result of foreign national involvement in assigned work. The Contractor shall also be responsible for the timely completion of all relevant requirements of Export Administration Regulations (EAR); Department of Commerce, Bureau of Industry and Security, 15 CFR 730-774. The Contractor shall submit an Export Control Plan detailing how it will ensure that all contract operations comply with U.S. export control laws and regulations.

The Contractor shall be responsible for completing all visitor requests for any individuals requiring access to NASA facilities in support of assigned work and for completing any associated requirements (such as escort requirements).

NASA will obtain all export licenses required for the shipment of any Sounding Rockets Program payloads, launch vehicles, ground support equipment, systems, materials, or supplies that are being shipped by NASA to foreign countries.

2.1,2.13. OFFICE AUTOMATION DEVICES AND SERVICES

For Contractor employees that work in NASA facilities, the Contractor shall have a requirement to utilize services provided by the Government for all desktop and associated equipment and interoperability requirements (including desktop and laptop computers, software systems, fax machines, and networked printers). The Wallops Center Network Environment (CNE) will supply all infrastructure and associated services.

The NSROC III contract has specialized computers for ground stations and ground support equipment that are non-ACES computers and has non-ACES servers for configuration management and contract-specific software that are maintained by the contractor.

The contractor shall provide Windows, Macintosh, Linux, UNIX, Web, and LAN systems administration services for non-ACES desktops and workstations, and servers, including:

- Provision of contract-specific software
- Provision and administration of ground support equipment computers and ground station computers
- Administration and maintenance of Adept, WOCR, and APPS servers
- Logging, reporting, diagnosing and correcting software faults
- · Performing updates of associated software for desktops and workstations
- Assisting in the preparation and updating of IT security and system administration documentation
- Assisting users with software/hardware installation
- Performing Help Desk functions including problem diagnosis and answering user questions regarding applications
- Repairing workstations, desktops, and printers on an emergency basis
- · Data backup, archive, and retrieval

At NASA's direction, the Contractor will update, develop, or enhance IT systems. New

developments will be performed in compliance with government requirements and regulations.

The Contractor shall develop and maintain an Information Technology (IT) Security Plan and associated documentation for any applicable non-ACES desktops, workstations, and servers due 30 calendar days after the effective date of NSROC III.

The contractor shall maintain compliance with the components of NPR 7150.2 NASA Software Engineering Requirements and STD 8739.8 NASA Software Assurance Standard applicable to the lifecycle phase and classification of contractor controlled software. The contractor serves as the software provider. The typical lifecycle phase is operation and maintenance. The typical software classification is Class C or D. No higher classifications or safety-critical software functions are anticipated. The NPR and STD may be tailored based on software classification. For Class C software maintenance and operation, documented processes are expected for configuration management, testing of changes, operation and maintenance, and provider software assurance. Applicable NPR 7150.2A requirements for Class C software operation and maintenance are typically SWE 13-18, 20-32, 34-37, 39-48, 79-81, 83, 85, 87, 88, 90-94, 102-104, 106, 109-114, 116-121, 125, 133, 137, 139, and 140. Applicable NPR 7150.2A requirements for Class D software operation and maintenance are typically SWE 13-15, 20-24, 28-31, 34, 36, 37, 39, 44, 46, 48, 79-81, 83, 85, 102-104, 109, 111, 116, 120, 121, 125, 133, 139, and 140. Applicable STD 8739.8 requirements for Class C software operations and maintenance are typically requirements under sections 6.1.1, 6.1.2, 6.1.3, 6.1.5, 6.2, 6.3.1, 6.3.2.2, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6, 6.7, 6.9, 7.1, 7.3.3, 7.3.4, 7.3.5, 7.4.1, 7.4.2, 7.4.3, and 7.4.6. Applicable STD 8739.8 requirements for Class D software operations and maintenance are typically requirements under sections 6.1.1, 6.1.2, 6.1.3, 6.1.5, 6.2, 6.3.1, 6.3.2.2, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6, 6.7, 6.9, 7.1.1.1, 7.1.1.3, 7.1.1.4, 7.1.1.8, 7.1.1.9, 7.1.1.10, 7.1.1.11, 7.1.2.1, 7.1.2.2, 7.1.2.3, 7.1.2.4, 7.1.2.6, 7.4.1, and 7.4.2. The contractor shall maintain compliance with updates to the NPR and STD. The contractor is encouraged to include software as an element integrated in system processes, to avoid the creation of duplicate processes or duplicate documentation.

In order to comply with the Section 508 Standards for Electronic and Information Technology, the Contractor shall perform all software application development, including the development of code, in compliance with the technical standards delineated in Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 1194.21 Software Applications and Operating Systems. Also, the Contractor shall perform all web development in compliance with the technical standards delineated in CFR Title 36, Subpart B Technical Standards, Part 1194.22 Web-based Intranet and Internet Information and Applications.

2.2.MISSION TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS

The NSROC III Contractor shall provide operational flight support using the process defined in Section 2.2 as a Core Requirement to implement the Sounding Rockets Program Baseline Mission Model given in Table 3. A description of the mission complexity levels (MCL) is given in Section 2.2.1.

Table 3. NSROC III Contract Baseline Mission Model

Table 3. NSROC III Contract baseline Mission Model																								
			<u>l</u>		Option 1									Option 2										
	<u>FY2016</u> <u>FY2017</u>								FY2018							FY2019		<u>FY2020</u>						
<u>ID</u>	<u>FY</u> <u>Ouarter</u>	Launch Site	MCL	Veh Config	<u>ID</u>	FY Quarter	Launch Site	MCL	Veh Config	<u>ID</u>	<u>FY</u> <u>Ouarter</u>	Launch Site	MCL	Veh Config	<u>ID</u>	<u>FY</u> <u>Ouarter</u>	Launch Site	MCL	Veh Config	<u>ID</u>	<u>FY</u> <u>Ouarter</u>	Launch Site	MCL	Veh Config
1	<u>Q1</u>	WSMR	2	41	1	<u>Q1</u>	WSMR	2	<u>36F</u>	1	<u>Q1</u>	WSMR	2	<u>41</u>	1	<u>Q1</u>	WSMR	2	<u>36F</u>	1	<u>Q1</u>	WSMR	2	<u>41</u>
2	Q1	WSMR	<u>3</u>	36F	2	<u>Q1</u>	WSMR	<u>3</u>	36F	2	Q1	WSMR	<u>3</u>	36F	2	<u>Q1</u>	WSMR	3	36F	2	<u>Q1</u>	WSMR	<u>3</u>	36F
3	Q1	WFF	1	<u>46</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>Q1</u>	WSMR	<u>3</u>	36F	<u>3</u>	Q1	WSMR	<u>3</u>	36F	3	<u>Q1</u>	WSMR	2	36F	<u>3</u>	<u>Q1</u>	WSMR	<u>3</u>	36F
4	<u>Q1</u>	ASC	4	<u>52</u>	4	<u>Q1</u>	WFF	<u>1</u>	<u>41</u>	4	<u>Q2</u>	WSMR	2	36F	4	<u>Q1</u>	WFF	<u>1</u>	<u>41</u>	4	<u>Q1</u>	WFF	1	<u>41</u>
<u>5</u>	<u>Q2</u>	WSMR	2	36F	<u>5</u>	<u>Q2</u>	WSMR	<u>3</u>	36F	<u>5</u>	Q2	WSMR	2	36F	<u>5</u>	<u>Q1</u>	ASC	4	<u>52</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Q2</u>	WSMR	<u>2</u>	36F
<u>6</u>	<u>Q2</u>	<u>PFRR</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Q2</u>	WSMR	2	<u>36F</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Q2</u>	WSMR	<u>3</u>	<u>36F</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Q2</u>	WSMR	<u>3</u>	<u>36F</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Q2</u>	WSMR	<u>3</u>	<u>36F</u>
7	<u>Q2</u>	PFRR	4	<u>52</u>	7	<u>Q2</u>	PFRR	4	<u>52</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Q2</u>	PFRR	<u>4</u>	<u>52</u>	7	<u>Q2</u>	WSMR	2	<u>41</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Q2</u>	WSMR	<u>3</u>	<u>36F</u>
8	Q3	WSMR	<u>2</u>	36F	8	<u>Q2</u>	PFRR	<u>3</u>	<u>52</u>	8	<u>Q2</u>	PFRR	<u>4</u>	<u>35</u>	8	<u>Q2</u>	PFRR	4	<u>52</u>	8	<u>Q2</u>	PFRR	<u>3</u>	<u>36</u>
9	<u>Q3</u>	WSMR	<u>2</u>	36F	9	<u>Q3</u>	WSMR	2	41	9	<u>Q3</u>	WSMR	2	36F	9	<u>Q2</u>	PFRR	<u>3</u>	<u>36</u>	9	<u>Q2</u>	PFRR	<u>4</u>	<u>52</u>
10	Q3	WFF	1	41	10	<u>Q3</u>	WSMR	<u>3</u>	36F	<u>10</u>	Q3	WSMR	<u>3</u>	36F	10	<u>Q3</u>	WSMR	2	36F	10	<u>Q3</u>	WSMR	<u>2</u>	41
<u>11</u>	<u>Q4</u>	WSMR	2	41	<u>11</u>	<u>Q3</u>	WFF	1	41	<u>11</u>	<u>Q3</u>	WSMR	1	41	<u>11</u>	<u>Q3</u>	WSMR	2	36F	11	<u>Q3</u>	WSMR	<u>3</u>	<u>36F</u>
<u>12</u>	<u>Q4</u>	WSMR	2	<u>36F</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>Q3</u>	WFF	<u>1</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>Q3</u>	WFF	<u>1</u>	<u>41</u>	12	<u>Q3</u>	WFF	<u>1</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>Q3</u>	WSMR	2	<u>36F</u>
<u>13</u>	<u>Q4</u>	WSMR	2	<u>36F</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>Q4</u>	KWAJ	2	<u>46</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>Q3</u>	WFF	2	<u>51</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>Q4</u>	WSMR	2	<u>36F</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>Q3</u>	WFF	1	<u>41</u>
14	<u>Q4</u>	WFF	<u>3</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>Q4</u>	<u>KWAJ</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>Q4</u>	WSMR	<u>2</u>	<u>41</u>	14	<u>Q4</u>	WSMR	<u>3</u>	<u>36F</u>	14	<u>Q3</u>	WFF	1	<u>46</u>
<u>15</u>	<u>Q4</u>	<u>AUS</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Q4</u>	WSMR	2	<u>36F</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Q4</u>	WSMR	<u>2</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Q4</u>	WSMR	2	<u>41</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Q4</u>	WSMR	<u>3</u>	<u>36F</u>
<u>16</u>	<u>Q4</u>	<u>AUS</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>Q4</u>	WSMR	<u>3</u>	<u>36F</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>Q4</u>	WSMR	<u>2</u>	<u>36F</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>Q4</u>	WFF	2	<u>46</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>Q4</u>	WSMR	<u>3</u>	<u>36F</u>
<u>17</u>	<u>Q4</u>	AUS	<u>3</u>	<u>36</u>	17	<u>Q4</u>	WSMR	<u>3</u>	<u>36F</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>Q4</u>	WSMR	<u>3</u>	<u>36F</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>Q4</u>	<u>KWAJ</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>Q4</u>	WSMR	<u>2</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>18</u>	<u>Q4</u>	<u>AUS</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>Q4</u>	WFF	<u>2</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>Q4</u>	WFF	<u>2</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>Q4</u>	KWAJ	2	<u>46</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>Q4</u>	WFF	<u>2</u>	<u>21</u>


Launch Site Key:

WSMR	White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico
WFF	Wallops Flight Facility, Virginia
PFRR	Poker Flat Research Range, Alaska
ASC	Andoya Space Center, Norway
KWAJ	Regan Test Site, Kwajalein Atoll
AUS	Woomera Test Range, Australia
	WFF PFRR ASC KWAJ

Vehicle Configuration Key:

<u>21</u>	Black Brant V: Black Brant
<u>35</u>	Black Brant X: Terrier - Black Brant - Nihka
<u>36</u>	Black Brant IX: Terrier - Black Brant
36F	Black Brant IX with Flight Termination System: Terrier - Black Brant
<u>51</u>	Black Brant XI-A: Talos - Terrier - Black Brant
<u>52</u>	Black Brant XII-A: Talos - Terrier - Black Brant - Nihka
<u>41</u>	Terrier - Improved Orion
46	Terrier - Improved Malemute

>	Formatted Table				
	Formatted: Left: Width: 17"	1", Right:	1", Top:	1.25", Bottom:	1.25



2.2.1. MISSION FORMULATION PHASE

The mission formulation phase begins with the NASA scheduled Mission Initiation Conference (MIC), described in Section 2.2.1.1, and ends with the completion of the Requirements Definition Meeting (RDM), described in Section 2.2.1.2.

During the mission formulation phase the NSROC III Contractor shall work with the SRPO Mission Oversight Monitor (MOM) and COR to define the mission's complexity level. The descriptions for the mission complexity levels (MCL) are given in Table 4.

Table 4. Description of mission complexity levels

LEVEL	TYPICAL PAYLOAD CHARACTERISTICS
LEVEL	TIPICAL PATLOAD CHARACTERISTICS
LEVEL 4	This would typically be a highly complex geospace science or new technology development payload with the following characteristics:
	New payload design
	2. High complexity payload configuration
	a. 3 or more free-flying payload bodies
	b. 5 or more scientific instruments
	c. 3 or more TM links data rates up to 10 Mb/sec per link, video
	d. 3 or more deployable boom sets
	e. ACS required
	3. Extensive design and analysis required
	4. Extensive fabrication required
	a. Experiment structure fabricated by WFF
	b. Experiment skins fabricated by WFF
	c. Extensive vehicle hardware fabricated by WFF
	d. Extensive payload wiring
	5. Extensive T&E required
LEVEL 3	This would typically be a solar or astrophysics telescope payload or a moderate complexity geospace science payload with the following characteristics:
	 New payload design Moderate complexity payload configuration 1 or 2 separating payload bodies
	b. 2 or fewer deployable boom setsc. 3 TM links or less data rates up to 10 Mb/sec per link

	d. ACS required 3. Moderate design and analysis required 4. Moderate/low fabrication required a. Experiment skins fabricated by WFF b. Transition skins required and fabricated by WFF c. Moderate wiring 5. Moderate T&E required
LEVEL 2	A follow-on flight of a payload that has already been designed and fabricated. This category would typically be flown at WSMR. 1. Existing payload requiring standard refurbishments and replacement parts: a. Replacement of expended transition skin b. Refurbishment of TM, ACS, and Recovery Systems 2. Moderate Mission/ACS analysis required 3. Moderate T&E required 4. Recovery required
LEVEL 1	This would typically be a relatively simple geospace chemical release or undergraduate university experiment payload with the following characteristics: 1. Existing payload design or new payload design using standard design details 2. Low complexity payload configuration a. 1 or 2 simple separating bodies b. No deployable boom sets c. 1 or 2 TM links d. No ACS 3. Minimal Fabrication Required a. One or two skins b. Moderate wiring 5. Low to moderate T&E required

The mission formulation phase is led by the SRPO MOM and is targeted to be completed within a period of forty five (45) calendar days after the MIC for most missions. For complex missions a period longer than 45 days may be necessary to complete the mission formulation phase. The timeline for the mission formulation phase will be managed by the SRPO MOM and not by the NSROC III Contractor.

During the mission formulation phase the Contractor team (led by the assigned Mission Manager) shall provide input upon request to the SRPO MOM to develop mission

concepts such as launch site considerations, mission support requirements, , launch vehicle and payload configuration, mission schedule (including design, fabrication, integration and testing, field activities and launch date, and post flight requirements including data products delivery schedules), cost estimates, approaches to meeting success criteria and recovery requirements, data product formats, and other pertinent mission defining elements.

The SRPO MOM will work with the Principal Investigator to define appropriate comprehensive and minimum success criteria that meet the scientific objectives of the mission while being achievable for NSROC given the capability of the selected vehicle and sub-systems.

During the mission formulation phase, under direction of the SRPO MOM, (as Previously mentioned in section 1.0) the NSROC III Contractor shall work with the Principal Investigator to identify any hazardous systems or ground support equipment used by the experiment team and acquire the necessary documentation and procedures to have the equipment reviewed by the safety offices to gain approval to use the equipment for integration, test, launch operations, or flight. The Contractor shall develop a Preliminary Hazard Assessment (PHA) for each mission, include it in the RDM package, and provide it directly to the Wallops Safety Office. The PHA shall include a listing of any items that have the potential to require a waiver at the designated launch range.

The Contractor shall also be occasionally required to provide support for the definition of requirements for complex, unusual, multiple launch and/or remote campaign, or other non-conventional missions in addition to that necessary for routine individual mission MIC and RDM requirements as mentioned in section 2.1.2.11.

2.2.1.1. MISSION INITIATION CONFERENCE

The SRPO MOM will initiate and conduct a Mission Initiation Conference (MIC), which will include representatives from NASA, NSROC, and the scientific Principal Investigator (PI) or mission science team. The Contractor shall assign a mission team to support and participate in the meeting. The SRPO MOM along with the PI presents the mission's science objectives and defines the preliminary performance, instrumentation, operational, and schedule requirements to the Contractor mission team.

2.2.1.2. REQUIREMENTS DEFINITION MEETING

The SRPO MOM will initiate and conduct a Requirements Definition Meeting (RDM), which will include representatives from NASA, NSROC, and the scientific principal investigator or mission science team. The results of the mission plan created during the mission formulation phase that addresses each of the requirements presented at the MIC is assembled by the Contractor, reviewed and approved by the SRPO MOM, presented at the RDM, and documented in a Requirements Definition Meeting Memorandum (RDMM) by the Contractor within five (5) calendar days of the RDM. The RDM shall document all information necessary to define and demonstrate how the mission

requirements can be achieved and the overall feasibility of the mission in the Requirements Matrix. Any changes to the Requirements Matrix after the RDM shall be approved by the SRPO MOM prior to implementation.

2.2.2. DESIGN PHASE REQUIREMENTS

2.2.2.1. FLIGHT SYSTEMS AND GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT (GSE) DESIGN AND ANALYSIS

The Contractor shall provide all engineering analyses, designs, and supporting documentation for all payload, launch vehicle, and GSE systems (with the exception of those being provided by the mission science team) to meet overall program and individual mission requirements as they emerge. These analyses, designs, and documentation shall completely and unambiguously define and verify the adequacy of the launch vehicle, payload, and associated flight and ground systems to meet all mission requirements, including NASA safety requirements. This shall include all manufacturing drawings, diagrams, plans, schedules, procedures, and other documentation necessary to fabricate, inspect, maintain, refurbish, assemble, integrate, test, and operate all launch vehicles, payloads, and associated GSE in accordance with mission requirements and NASA safety requirements.

The Contractor shall define the processes that will prepare and qualify the payload to meet mission requirements in flight. This includes the definition of a series of functional and environmental tests that will qualify the individual payload systems (including those provided by the mission science team) and the complete payload for flight operations. The tests shall be designed to verify the proper calibration, function, performance, and reliability of all payload systems and shall demonstrate the launch vehicle and payload will function as a complete flight system that is capable of meeting all mission requirements. All processes and tests shall be fully described and documented in an individual test plan for each mission.

Any changes to the Requirements Matrix during the design phase shall be approved by the SRPO MOM prior to implementation.

2.2.2.2. DESIGN REVIEW

The Contractor shall initiate, staff, conduct, and document a Design Review Meeting for each mission in accordance with the approximate Design Review Meeting milestone contained in the individual mission schedule. The Contractor shall notify the SRPO MOM 10 working days in advance of the scheduled Design Review.

The SRPO MOM will work with the Principal Investigator to define appropriate comprehensive and minimum success criteria for the Design Review that meets the scientific objectives of the mission while being achievable for NSROC given the capability of the selected vehicle and sub-systems.

During the Design Review Meeting the Contractor shall formally and systematically present and critically review all information necessary to demonstrate that the proposed design and mission approach can meet all mission and safety requirements. The review shall contain presentations by each discipline associated with the mission, including NSROC Safety. The presentations shall be reviewed by a panel, chaired and populated by technically cognizant Contractor personnel within each discipline being presented, SRPO MOM, and any other NASA personnel as appointed by the SRPO MOM. The SRPO MOM shall have approval authority over DR panel membership. The data presented at the Design Review shall demonstrate the adequacy of the proposed design and how the proposed design and fabrication, assembly, integration, and testing process will produce a finished product that will achieve the mission success requirements and comply with NASA safety requirements. Complete descriptions of the proposed test plan for the complete payload and each system associated with the mission (including scientific instruments) shall be included and presented. A listing of all procedures involving hazardous operations, safety-related issues, and assembly of the launch vehicle and payload shall be provided. Procedures of any type involving hazardous operations or safety related issues, which have not been approved by NASA Safety, shall be provided. Any new or revised procedures shall be brought to the attention of the committee and NASA and be made available for review. A preliminary Mission Telemetry Requirements (MTR) which outlines all of the telemetry system parameters, ground support systems, and test requirements shall be included in the Design Review documentation for all missions. A preliminary Flight Requirements Plan (FRP), which outlines range support requirements, flight performance aspects, data product requirements, and field schedules, shall be included in the Design Review documentation for all missions. The FRP shall specifically request all required Wallops Range support including fixed and mobile assets and personnel. The mission schedule from the RDM shall be updated, if necessary, and provided. Any changes in the mission schedule since the RDM shall be justified and documented. Problem areas, inadequacies, and risks shall be identified and documented. All material and supporting documentation to be presented at the Design Review shall be assembled into a single comprehensive Design Review Package with a copy being provided to each individual attending the review. The panel will be responsible for reviewing all information, and assigning action items in all instances where the presentation fails to demonstrate that all mission requirements will be achieved. NASA personnel and the Principal Investigator(s) reserve the right to attend and participate in the Design Review. Any person in attendance at the Design Review has the ability to submit an action item to the panel for consideration.

The Contractor shall generate a Design Review Memorandum (DRM) which summarizes the meeting and formally documents all assigned action items. The DRM shall document that the Design Review package and presentation demonstrated the proposed design and mission approach will meet the mission success criteria. It shall also include the updated mission schedule.

All action items assigned at the Design Review shall be dispositioned prior to the PIR. The dispositions shall be formally documented in writing in a Design Review Action Item Closeout Memorandum with the approvals of all panel members evidenced by their

signatures.

Any changes to the Requirements Matrix during the design review shall be approved by the SRPO MOM prior to implementation.

2.2.3. FABRICATION, INTEGRATION, TESTING, and QUALIFICATION PHASE REQUIREMENTS

2.2.3.1. FLIGHT HARDWARE and GSE FABRICATION

The Contractor shall fabricate, refurbish, modify, and/or provide and assemble all flight (including launch vehicles and associated systems) and ground support materials, hardware, components and systems necessary to meet mission requirements (with the exception of those being provided by the mission science team and other launch operation support organizations). This requirement includes Contractor provision, inspection, and acceptance testing of all new hardware and equipment and for Contractor inspection, reconditioning, refurbishment, and acceptance testing of all government property (including surplus rocket motors), as necessary. This will be accomplished in accordance with Section 2.1.1.6 of this SOW. Property requiring reconditioning and refurbishment after each mission typically includes, but is not limited to, attitude and rate control systems, recovery systems, boost guidance control systems, gyroscopes, telemetry systems, door mechanisms, and other mission specific hardware.

Any changes to the Requirements Matrix during the fabrication phase shall be approved by the SRPO MOM prior to implementation.

2.2.3.2. PRE-INTEGRATION REVIEW (PIR)

The Contractor shall hold a Pre-Integration Review (PIR) to assess the readiness of the payload sub-systems and review the testing plan prior to arrival of the science team. The SRPO MOM shall be in attendance at the meeting. At the PIR the integration and testing process for integration of the payload and the flight vehicle is reviewed. At this meeting, the payload, vehicle elements, test plans/procedures, test equipment, facilities and personnel are thoroughly evaluated to ensure successful integration. There is no deliverable requirement for the PIR.

Any changes to the Requirements Matrix during the pre-integration review shall be approved by the SRPO MOM prior to implementation.

2.2.3.3. INTEGRATION, TESTING, and FLIGHT QUALIFICATION

The Contractor shall integrate, test, and qualify the payload, launch vehicle, and associated GSE to verify that the systems will achieve all scientific requirements.

Any changes to the Requirements Matrix during the integration, testing, and flight qualification phase shall be approved by the SRPO MOM prior to implementation.

2.2.3.4. MISSION READINESS REVIEW

The Contractor shall initiate, staff, conduct, and document a Mission Readiness Review Meeting (MRR) for each mission in accordance with the approximate Mission Readiness Review Meeting milestone contained in the individual mission -schedule. The Contractor shall notify the SRPO MOM, 10 working days in advance of the scheduled Mission Readiness Review.

The SRPO MOM will work with the Principal Investigator to define appropriate comprehensive and minimum success criteria for the Mission Readiness Review that meets the scientific objectives of the mission while being achievable for NSROC given the capability of the selected vehicle and sub-systems.

During the Mission Readiness Review meeting the Contractor shall formally and systematically present and critically review all information necessary to demonstrate that all mission requirements will be achieved. The review shall contain presentations by each discipline associated with the mission, including NSROC Safety. The presentations shall be reviewed by a panel, chaired and populated by technically cognizant Contractor personnel within each discipline being presented, SRPO MOM, and any other NASA personnel as appointed by the SRPO MOM. The SRPO MOM shall have approval authority over MRR panel membership. The data presented at the Mission Readiness Review shall demonstrate that the launch vehicle, payload, and associated ground and flight systems are ready to proceed to the flight operations phase and will meet mission requirements; all environmental testing and flight qualifications have been successfully completed; and all required GSE and range support assets and services have been identified and are available. Complete descriptions and results of all testing performed during the integration and flight qualification process for the mission shall be included. A listing of all procedures involving hazardous operations, safety-related issues, and assembly of the launch vehicle and payload shall be provided. Procedures of any type involving hazardous operations or safety-related issues, which have not been approved by NASA Safety, shall be provided. Any new or revised procedures shall be brought to the attention of the committee and NASA and be made available for review. A launch operation Countdown Procedure in accordance with Section 2.2.4.3 of this SOW shall be provided. An updated and finalized Flight Requirements Plan and Mission Telemetry Requirements document shall be included in the Mission Readiness Review documentation. The mission schedule shall be updated and provided. Any changes in the design, test plan, procedures, mission schedules, or mission approach, which have occurred since the Design Review shall be fully documented and justified at the Mission Readiness Review. All material and supporting documentation to be presented at the Mission Readiness Review shall be assembled into a single comprehensive Mission Readiness Review Package with a copy being provided to each individual attending the review. The panel will be responsible for reviewing all information, and assigning action items in all instances where the presentation fails to demonstrate that all mission requirements will be achieved. NASA personnel and the Principal Investigator(s) reserve the right to attend and participate in the Mission Readiness Review. Any person in

attendance at the Mission Readiness Review has the ability to submit an action item to the panel for consideration.

The Contractor shall generate a Mission Readiness Review Memorandum (MRRM) which summarizes the meeting and defines all action items assigned. The MRRM shall document that the Mission Readiness Review package and presentation demonstrated the mission success criteria will be achieved. It shall also include the updated mission schedule.

All action items assigned at the Mission Readiness Review shall be dispositioned prior to the initiation of launch operations. Those dispositions shall be formally documented in writing in a Mission Readiness Review Action Item Closeout Memorandum with the approvals of all panel members evidenced by their signatures.

Any changes to the Requirements Matrix during the mission readiness review shall be approved by the SRPO MOM prior to implementation.

2.2.4. PRE LAUNCH, AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED, LAUNCH, AND POST LAUNCH SUPPORT PHASE REQUIREMENTS

The Contractor shall be responsible for all pre-launch, authorization to proceed with launch operations, launch, and post-launch activities as described in this section and for logistics for the payload, vehicle, and GSE for all assigned sounding rocket missions. NASA will make appropriate arrangements for field support services at all fixed and mobile launch ranges based on mission support requirements established by the NSROC III Contractor. These mission support requirements shall be provided to the COR in writing as part of the Requirements Definition Meeting Memorandum (RDMM) and will be updated and included as part of the Flight Requirements Plan. In addition, NASA will be responsible for establishing all necessary Memorandums of Understanding (MOU), Joint Implementations Plans (JIP), and other inter-government agreements associated with all sounding rocket missions. The Contractor shall provide a single individual for each mission designated as the Mission Manager who shall be responsible for the coordination and execution of all NSROC III Contractor related field operations. The Contractor shall also provide a single individual for each mission designated as the Operations Director to manage the pad operations (both technical and logistics) who is knowledgeable and has extensive experience with sounding rocket launch operations and the requirements of the Range Safety Manual (RSM).

2.2.4.1. PRE-LAUNCH OPERATIONS

The Contractor shall conduct all required pre-launch field operations necessary to verify that the payload and launch vehicle are ready for flight.

2.2.4.2. AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED WITH LAUNCH OPERATIONS

The Contractor shall hold a Launch Readiness Review (LRR) to assess the post MRR state of the mission and verify any open items are closed prior to launch authorization. The SRPO MOM, or SRPO Duplicate Authority Designee (DAD), shall be in attendance at the meeting. The Contractor shall poll relevant disciplines and parties to determine launch readiness and confidence in the mission to meet the defined requirements and success criteria. The Contractor shall provide a statement of their readiness in a LRR Memo to the SRPO Chief.

All missions under this contract require the Contractor to receive a NASA issued "Notice to Proceed with Launch Operations" before the Contractor can proceed with launch operations. If the Contractor has failed to demonstrate that the mission performance requirements and other applicable terms of the contract will be met, that risks have been mitigated to an acceptable level, or that action items have been closed to NASA's satisfaction, NASA reserves the right to delay the launch of the mission until such time as the Contractor has addressed all concerns to the satisfaction of NASA. NASA also reserves the right to delay the launch of a mission at any point up to the launch if unforeseen circumstances occur which have a negative impact on the probability that all safety and mission requirements will be achieved. The Contractor shall take any immediate and necessary actions to address such circumstances.

2.2.4.3. LAUNCH OPERATIONS

The Contractor shall coordinate all NSROC III functions for, and participate in, launch operations utilizing support defined by the Contractor and arranged for by NASA. The Contractor shall develop a written countdown procedure for each mission that includes a launch approval check-off for flight safety and the mission science team's principal investigator or his designee. The designated Range Safety Officer will maintain authority for real time go/no-go launch decisions as they relate to flight safety requirements. The Range Test Director (or other designated launch range representative as appropriate) and the Contractor shall have responsibility for real time go/no-go launch decisions based on the availability and functionality of required launch range provided assets. The mission science team's Principal Investigator will maintain authority for real time go/no-go launch decisions based on scientific criteria (i.e. scientific conditions, functionality of the scientific instruments, and the availability of required range and off range scientific support assets which affect achievement of mission success criteria). The Contractor shall have responsibility for real time go/no-go launch decisions for all Contractor provided systems and other mission specific responsibilities. This includes, but is not limited to, contractor provided launch vehicles, payload systems, ground support equipment, and the requirement to perform real time S-19 flight performance analysis and reviews for boost guided launches from White Sands Missile Range and make real time go/no-go launch decisions based on the results. NASA and the mission science team's Principal Investigator will have authority to stop launch operations at any time during the countdown. For all missions, the Contractor shall plan and coordinate mission

operations (in conjuncture with appropriate payload designs and range support elements) so that two independent sources of payload telemetry data and in-flight positional data are obtained.

The Contractor shall also provide a single individual for each mission designated as the Operations Director to manage the pad operations (both technical and logistics) who is knowledgeable and has extensive experience with sounding rocket launch operations and the requirements of the Range Safety Manual (RSM).

2.2.4.4. POST-LAUNCH FIELD OPERATIONS

The Contractor shall coordinate and conduct post-launch activities. This includes providing support to ensure the successful recovery of payloads when required by mission success criteria and any other post-launch activities including the demobilization of field assets.

2.2.5. POST-FLIGHT PHASE REQUIREMENTS

2.2.5.1. POST-FLIGHT DATA REDUCTION

The Contractor shall reduce and provide all post flight data products for contractor supported systems and activities. This includes the provision of housekeeping and scientific flight data. Within 3 weeks of launch the Contractor shall hold a Data Review meeting to assess if any of the flight data shows anomalous characteristics that need closer post flight studies (reference Section 2.2.5.2) or may be subject to a mishap or anomaly investigation (reference Section 2.2.5.3).

The Contractor shall reduce and provide all post flight data products for experimenter systems and activities. Unless otherwise mutually agreed to by the scientific Principal Investigator, all required data products shall be scheduled for delivery to the mission science team for no later than sixty (60) calendar days after launch of the mission.

2.2.5.2. POST FLIGHT STUDIES

The Contractor shall conduct post flight studies of each mission and fully document the results. These studies shall compare actual performance and flight parameters of all major systems with those predicted. This includes, but is not limited to, assessments of the: launch vehicle and associated systems; boost guidance system; attitude control system; telemetry system; separation and deployment systems; power supply and timing systems; and recovery systems. Significant discrepancies between actual and predicted performance and flight parameters shall be investigated and resolved. The results of these post flight studies shall be included in the Mission Closeout Report (MCR) in accordance with the requirements of Section 2.2.5.4 of this SOW. The Contractor shall also be responsible for updating and maintaining the NSRP Empirical Dispersion Flight History Database.

2.2.5.3. MISHAP AND ANOMALY INVESTIGATIONS

The Contractor shall identify anomalies, failures, and systemic problems with flight vehicle systems, payload systems, GSE, and analytical methods employed in the NSRP. The Contractor shall obtain information necessary to identify and resolve flight vehicle and payload systems anomalies and failures by means of monitoring devices that provide information on the in-flight functional performance of these systems. These monitoring devices shall be incorporated into the vehicle and payload systems during the design and fabrication phases of each mission. The Contractor shall determine the type, quantity, and location of all such devices with consideration being given to the associated costs. When post flight investigations are unable to determine the actual causes of anomalies and failures beyond a reasonable doubt, such anomalies and failures shall be concluded to be the responsibility of the NSROC III Contractor.

The NSROC III Contractor shall be responsible for the investigation and disposition of NSROC III contractor related Class C Mishaps for injury or illness and all Class D Mishaps and Close Calls as defined in NPR 8621.1, NASA Procedural Requirements for Mishap and Close Call Reporting, Investigating, and Recordkeeping. All such investigations shall be conducted in accordance with all requirements of NPR 8621.1 including the provision of a final report to NASA for each investigation NASA may conduct an independent investigation of these Mishaps and Close Calls as it deems necessary.

NASA will be responsible for the investigation of all Class A and Class B Mishaps and all Class C Mishaps for property damage as defined in NPR 8621.1. The NSROC III Contractor shall provide all available information to NASA as requested during the course of such investigations and provide personnel to serve on the AIB panels if assigned by NASA. The NSROC III Contractor shall be responsible for implementing all corrective actions resulting from such investigations.

2.2.5.4. MISSION CLOSEOUT REPORT

The Contractor shall provide a Mission Closeout Report (MCR) to NASA for each individual mission within 180 calendar days of the launch date. The NASA COR can approve in writing Contractor requests for longer than 180 calendar days to submit the MCR if the NASA COR determines that sufficient justification exists for the extension. The MCR shall document that all contractual requirements for the mission, including all post flight requirements that have been completed by the NSROC III Contractor. Prior to submittal of the MCR, the Contractor shall conduct a Lessons Learned Review. During this meeting, the payload team will present a summary of the positive and negative experiences that occurred throughout the project. These experiences shall be documented and made available to other payload teams in order to capitalize on efficiencies and risk reduction strategies that can be applied to future projects and reduce the likelihood of reoccurrence of past negative events. In addition to being included in the Mission Closeout Report, all Lessons Learned for each mission shall be maintained separately in the document repository in accordance with the requirements of Section 2.1.1.4 of this

SOW.

2.3.DEVELOPMENT AND ROUTINE PROJECT ASSIGNMENTS

The Contractor shall supply the capability to provide support for Development and Routine Projects Assignments (DRPA). Definition of requirements for these discrete efforts will be given to the Contractor by SRPO via Work Orders (WO). The work that may be assigned to the NSROC III contractor includes, but is not limited to: design and analysis (mechanical, structural, electrical, electronic, flight performance, flight dynamics, control system, software, etc.); fabrication (mechanical, structural, electrical, electronic, etc.); engineering and environmental studies; integration and testing; technical support; quality assurance services; field and post flight operations; acquisition and provision of equipment, hardware, materials, and supplies; internship program and outreach projects; and project management efforts to support the Sounding Rockets Program, NASA, other U.S. Government agencies, and reimbursable customer projects and requirements. These efforts are normally of relatively short term duration and may be performed with the same or similar skill mix of personnel required for the implementation of sounding rocket missions. However, complex projects such as launch range facility management and implementation, the development of new sounding rocket launch vehicles, the development of payload support systems and subsystems, or the implementation of entire missions to standards different than those defined in Sections 2.2.1through 2.2.5 of this Statement of Work may be required.

Long term support functions may also be required such as general support for facilities management; flight sub-system fabrication, assembly, and testing; launch range operations; general drafting and technical support; etc. It may also be necessary to provide support for specific functions or portions of missions rather than the entire effort required to implement the mission. In these instances the mission support team may be comprised of a combination of civil servant and Contractor personnel. This work is variable in nature and covers a wide spectrum of requirements that must also utilize WFF fabrication and testing facilities. In some cases, the work effort may require joint civil service and Contractor utilization of some government property.

The DRPA project begins when a WO is issued by SRPO stating the requirements. Upon receipt of the WO the Contractor shall assign a team of individuals from appropriate disciplines to the DRPA project. The SRPO representative responsible for the DRPA project shall hold a kickoff meeting to discuss the requirements and the assigned Contractor team shall attend. After the kickoff meeting the Contractor shall assume responsibility for planning, scheduling, staffing, and implementing the project through completion of the WO requirements. The Contractor shall develop a review process for each category of DRPA projects designed to identify critical issues and problem areas; track and verify the appropriate disposition of identified problems and issues; and provide the Government with an appropriate level of insight into the project.

Additionally, the Contractor shall supply the means necessary for providing creative or innovative solutions for improved access to space and cost effectively advancing the

range of capabilities offered by the Sounding Rockets Program with specific emphasis on new technologies associated with launch systems, attitude control systems, guidance systems, telemetry systems, power systems, etc. as necessary to meet the evolving and complex requirements of the program. This may include, but is not limited to, such things as: the in-house development of new rocket motors and payload support systems and/or their acquisition from commercial sources; the in-house development of new launch vehicles utilizing surplus government and/or commercially available assets; and the acquisition of launch services from commercially available sources. In these instances the project team may be comprised of a combination of civil servant and Contractor personnel.

For reference, a description of DRPA project complexities is included in Table 5. The NSROC III Contractor shall provide support as a Core Requirement to implement the Sounding Rockets Program DRPA Model given in Table 6.

The Contractor shall hold a monthly DRPA Status Meeting with SRPO presence to report on the status of development efforts and routine projects program wide that sustain and enhance the capability of the Sounding Rockets Program. Topics to cover include inventory maintenance and procurement (including status of purchased off the shelf items, purchased major sub-systems, made in-house major sub-systems, and sub-system refurbishment); ground support equipment procurement, development, and maintenance; facilities maintenance; outreach activities; and internal engineering, testing, or production development projects to standardize, modernize, or enhance the capabilities of the Sounding Rockets Program.

Table 5. Description of DRPA Project Complexity Levels


Tuble 5. Descript	NCDOC HI DDDA Cotagony Dogovintions							
	NSROC III DRPA Category Descriptions							
Category	Description							
A	Projects of this nature are technically complex and non-routine. The non-routine nature of these projects may increase the technical risk associated with proper functional performance of the final product. Items falling under this category could include the design and development of a new launch vehicle or payload support subsystem; complex fabrication, testing, and flight qualification of new payload support subsystems; or the fabrication of a highly specialized mechanical component for a satellite.							
В	Projects of this nature generally do not require extensive engineering design and analysis efforts. They may involve the acquisition, fabrication, refurbishment, inspection, and/or testing of complex payload subsystems or components for the maintenance of Sounding Rockets Program inventories of flight proven systems with existing designs; or for engineering, fabrication, or testing projects where extensive developmental effort is not required thus reducing the associated technical risks. Examples might include the acquisition of commercially available rocket motors or boost guidance systems; the in-house fabrication of replacement Attitude Control Systems; and the refurbishment of Recovery Systems or vacuum shutter doors.							
С	Projects that fall under this category may include routine engineering or technical support which could include either the continuous fabrication or acquisition of relatively simple mechanical and electrical components that are readily available from commercial sources. Examples might include the fabrication of connector brackets, battery boxes, etc. or the acquisition of raw materials and components utilized within payload support subsystems. These projects may also involve the performance of relatively routine engineering analysis or testing.							
D	The unique nature of the effort cannot be predicted before the project is defined and is not consistent with the criteria established for either Category A, B, or C projects.							

Table 6. NSROC III Contract Baseline DRPA Model


Recurring DRPA Work Orders

 Formatted Table	


					Base P	eriod	Opti	on 1	Option 2
Number	Core Category	Work Order Name	Category	Work Order Scope	CY1 FY16	CY2 FY17	CY3 FY18	CY4 FY19	CY5 FY20
<u>R1</u>	Administrative	Commercial Rocket Motor Procurement	В	Provide commercial sustainer motors for applicable sounding rocket missions. This work order is inclusive of a source evaluation board process that results in a motor buy recommendation to SRPO. The procurement includes creation and management of the subcontracts for the manufacture of assembled propulsion units (including motor case, igniter, propellant casting, insulation, liner, nozzle, and exit cone). This work order also includes the responsibility for monitoring vendor progress on both programmatic and technical performance, as well as acceptance of the assembled propulsion units and related hardware. The scope shall include hardware costs for concurrent qualification/production effort of motors, if necessary.	X	X	X	X	X
<u>R2</u>	Administrative	F-10 Stock Inventory	D	Assess the amount of inventory in F-10 stock and the material needs for the upcoming year. Procure, inspect, and test as applicable, all COTS materials needed to stock the stockroom for the next contract year. Common stock items such as battery boxes that are fabricated in the NSROC mechanical and electrical shops shall be included. Examples include the fabrication of connector brackets, battery boxes, etc. or the acquisition of raw materials and components utilized within payload support subsystems. Exclude procurement buyer, receipt, and stock room labor on this work order. Include engineering, fabrication, and acceptance testing labor for both purchased and made in-house items on this work order.	X	X	Х	X	X
<u>R3</u>	Administrative	Vehicle Hardware Inventory	C	Maintain an adequate inventory of vehicle systems, ordnance devices, and hardware to support current sounding rocket mission requirements for the current fiscal year. Determine and furnish an adequate amount of vehicle hardware to include: small ordnance devices (i.e. initiators, pressure cartridges, cable cutters, pin pullers, etc.), flight termination components (both electrical and ordnance components), parachutes, fins, staging hardware, nosecones, launch lugs, manacle bands, deployment hardware, and other miscellaneous vehicle hardware. Items shall be entered into the NSROC stock system and ready to be issued out to support the missions when required. This work order to include engineering and technician support to enter the items into stock in a flight-ready condition. Exclude procurement buyer, receipt, and stock room labor from this work order.	X	X	X	X	X




1	R4	Technical	Standard Sounding Rocket Sub- System Support - Flight Systems	В	Maintain an adequate inventory of standard sounding rocket sub-systems to support current sounding rocket mission requirements for the current fiscal year. Standard sub-systems include recovery systems, flight termination systems (FTS), nosecone deployment systems, subtert doors, boost guidance systems (BGS), ignition systems, mechanical de-spin systems, separation systems, attitude control systems (ACS), attitude determination sensors, gyros, and command uplink systems. (Procurement of individual pieces, parts, and components as well as fabrication of made-in-house components shall be performed under the F-10 Stock inventory and Vehicle Hardware inventory work orders.) Under this work order, provide support for standard sounding rocket sub-system design, analysis, upgrades, repackaging, refurbishment, assembly, acceptance testing, qualification testing, and documentation creation/maintenance. Documentation includes drawings, schematics, software, checklists, procedures, reports, etc. This work order shall include the engineering labor, acceptance/qualification testing labor, and fabrication labor needed to ensure that each sub-system and associated documentation is fully functional, tested, and in a flight-ready condition to support sounding rocket missions. All new designs, design changes or major hardware changes shall at a minimum be reviewed by the NSROC Configuration Control Board (CCB) and may require a Non-Advocate Review, to include a panel comprised of personnel from, at a minimum, NSROC, AETD, and SRPO. Also provide engineering labor required to perform data reduction and analysis of post-flight sub-system data to evaluate system performance that is over-and-above what is already required during normal post-flight/mission close-out activities. Track labor and material costs for each effort under this work order (i.e. BGS refurbishment, recovery system refurbishment, shutter door assembly and testing, FTS component qualification testing, etc.) Some examples of this work include: - Refurbishment	X	X	X	x	X
1	<u>R5</u>	Management	Reimbursable Mission Feasibility Study and Planning Activities	В	Participate in preliminary mission planning activities in anticipation of a reimbursable mission. Perform preliminary mission operations planning per objectives presented by the experiment team. Perform flight performance analyses to predict experiment conditions for the reimbursable payload and candidate vehicle. - Perform preliminary GNC design trades given the candidate vehicle(s) and flight performance timeline(s). - Perform preliminary instrumentation and power design trades given the candidate vehicle(s) and flight performance timeline(s). - Perform preliminary mechanical interface design trades for the candidate vehicle(s) identified to execute the mission. - Provide a cost estimate for the mission. - Provide all support services and personnel resources necessary to complete the study. The scope of the support includes mission planning, management, and engineering analysis. The results of this preliminary study will determine if the Program will proceed with the mission from both a technical and cost standpoint.	х	X	X	X	х
	<u>R6</u>	Administrative	Office Supplies and Shop Consumables	D	Procure office supplies and fabrication shop consumables consistent with the programmatic needs. This includes tooling in the fabrication shops, gasses, nitrogen, coolants, lubricants, chemicals, cleaners, lifting hardware, safety items, batteries, tape, oils, garnet, wire, and other smaller items used on a recurring basis.	X	X	X	X	X




<u>R7</u>	Management	Outreach	В	Design, develop, and implement an Outreach Program that will provide educational development activities directed at advancing interest in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math career paths for students in grades K through 12. This Program shall be implemented within the local community generally within commuting distance of Wallops Flight Facility, although exceptions involving organizations from more distant locations may be considered, but will require preapproval from the Sounding Rockets Program Office. Interns from the Intern Program should be involved in these developmental activities as they can more readily relate to the younger students and serve as role models. Their involvement in these activities will also provide them with a personal developmental experience of their own. A schedule for the program shall be provided to the Sounding Rockets Program Office with monthly reports following that describe activities completed for the monthly reporting period and planned activities for the upcoming period. The contractor may also be requested to support/participate in additional outreach activities developed independently by the Sounding Rockets Program Office or other NASA entities.	X	X	X	X	X
<u>R8</u>	Management	Internship Program	В	Implement a university undergraduate/graduate level student outreach intern/co-op program for the Sounding Rockets Program Office. The program shall provide for approximately five (5) Full Time Equivalent (FTE) positions for each contract year. This target FTE rate need not be maintained consistently throughout the academic year, but should be proportioned between academic semesters and vacation periods to the maximum advantage of the program. The maximum number of FTEs during a month shall not exceed 8. The principal goal of the program shall be to provide a meaningful and relevant work experience for the intern/co-op participants who will provide a readily available pool of experienced recent graduates who may be considered for permanent full time employment in support of the Sounding Rockets Program and other Wallops activities. This will require work assignments (and appropriate mentoring and oversight) supporting actual missions and other work as routinely assigned to the NSROC contractor. While the program should principally focus on the career development of candidates involved in engineering, math, and scientific disciplines; it need not necessarily be restricted to those disciplines as there may be needs within the Sounding Rockets Program and Wallops community within other areas such as management, business, etc. At the end of each co-op or internship term the Contractor shall hold a meeting with NASA participation that allows the students to present on the projects or work they accomplished during the term.	Х	х	X	х	X
<u>R9</u>	Technical	Anomaly Investigation Board (AIB) Support	D	Provide support for investigating mission failures of NASA-Chaired investigation boards. The scope of this work order covers support by NSROC employees directly assigned to Anomaly Investigation Boards (AIB), as well as any NSROC employees that are requested to provide analyses, testing, or other support to the AIB that is over-and-above what is already required during normal post-flight/mission close-out activities.	X	X	X	X	Х
<u>R10</u>	Technical	Research and Development	D	NSROC shall research and develop strategies and provide implementation plans to improve Sounding Rocket systems and support systems to include new or improved: vehicle stacks/configurations; mechanical systems; telemetry systems; power systems; command uplink systems; recovery systems; GSE for any subsystems; functional capabilities (including but not limited to TM ground stations, machine shop, ACS, environmental, etc). This includes engineering and technician support for conceptual studies to arrive at a white paper proposal.	X	х	X	х	X
<u>R11</u>	Technical	General Sounding Rocket Program Office Support	D	This General work order is for NSROC support to the Sounding Rockets Program Office. Various types of work orders (WOs) may be issued to include: - Drafting - Test & Integration - Design - Materials - Analysis - Fabrication - Machine or electrical shop operations	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
<u>R12</u>	Technical	Ground Support Equipment (GSE)	C	Design, develop, fabricate, procure, maintain, upgrade, refurbish, repair, and modify Ground Support Equipment (GSE) required for the mechanical, electrical, standard sub-system, and general equipment to provide support for NSROC operations. This also covers additional GSE (power supplies, etc.) to maintain the program capabilities. These GSE included in this class of equipment are not specific to the actual mission GSE but provide for GSE that supports a wider range of mission and projects support as well as GSE for standard sub-systems used on sounding rocket payloads and vehicles. This work order also encompasses the required GSE upkeep, outside the routine maintenance of machine shop equipment and ground stations, and NSROC provided software licenses and support.	X	X	х	X	X



	<u>R13</u>	Technical	Wallops Island (WI) and Poker Flats Research Range (PFRR) Launcher Maintenance	В	Provide the labor and materials necessary to perform major maintenance or refurbishment to sounding rocket launchers located at Wallops Island and Poker Flats Research Range that are outside the scope of configuration and maintenance for each SRPO launch. The scope of work shall include, but not be limited to: - Perform major modifications, repairs and upgrades as necessary for individual Sounding Rocket Program launches; - Major modifications/repairs/upgrades may include: refurbishment of the accompanying launcher control consoles and associated wiring and boom mounted umbilical cabling between the launcher junction boxes and payload connector; maintenance, repair, and/or replacement of firing lines, power cabling, and payload umbilical cabling between the launcher and blockhouse; replacements of chains and sprockets on elevation drives, braking systems, relocation of land lines and pneumatic lines; completion of landline checkout procedures; removal, inspection, and repair/replacement of components as required - Development (design, fabrication, and testing) of any component of the launcher (to include retractable fittings, launch rails, support legs, etc.) to be able to support all standard sounding rocket vehicles - NSROC shall be able to track labor and material costs for each effort under this work order	x	x	x	x	x
	<u>R14</u>	Technical	WFF Aircraft Office Support	D	The purpose of this general work order is to support the Aircraft Office. Work orders to be issued include: - Drafting - Design - Fabrication - Machine or Electrical shop operations - Test & Integration - Materials - Mechanical/electrical technician support	х	х	X	х	х
	<u>R15</u>	Technical	WFF Balloon Program Office Support	D	The purpose of this general work order is to support the Balloon Program Office. Work orders to be issued include: - Drafting - Analysis - Design - Fabrication - Machine or electrical shop operations - Test & Integration - Materials - Training	Х	Х	X	х	X
	<u>R16</u>	Technical	WFF Range and Mission Management Office Support	D	Provide mechanical and electrical technical support to Code 840/Range and Mission Management Office. Support shall include work orders for the refurbishment, installation, unscheduled maintenance, and configuration of Wallops Island Launch Range facilities and equipment. This includes but is not limited to; rocket launchers, payload and rocket motor handling equipment, fixed and portable shelters, environmental control equipment, and launcher and rocket motor firing control equipment and interfacing cabling. Additional support may include performing the functions of Pad Manager; performing launch vehicle inspection, testing, assembly, buildup, and staging operations; performing mechanical and electrical fabrication support; engineering design and drafting support; engineering analysis support; storm\empergency preparations; providing logistics support associated with various launch range activities; providing training; machine or electrical shop operations, test & Integration, mechanical or electrical technician support, and ordering\furnishing materials.	X	Х	X	Х	Х
	<u>R17</u>	Technical	WFF AETD Code 569 Support	D	The purpose of this general work order is to support the AETD Electrical Engineering Branch (Code 569). Work orders to be issued include: - Fabrication - Materials - Mechanical/Electrical Technician Support	X	X	X	х	X



			- Environmental Test Support					
<u>R18</u>	Technical Cod	AETD e 548 D opport	The purpose of this general work order is to support the AETD Mechanical Engineering Branch (Code 548). Work orders to be issued include: - Project management - Drafting - Fabrication - Machine or electrical shop operations - Environmental testing - Misc. materials, hardware, and supplies - Electrical components, wiring, and assembly - Mechanical assembly - Analysis - Mechanical/Electrical Technician Support - Motor handling operations	X	X	X	x	X
<u>R19</u>	Technical Reimb	ASA pursable jects pport	Provide support for various reimbursable projects issued by NASA. An average of 3-4 projects are ongoing at any given time. Work orders to be issued include: - Drafting - Analysis - Design - Fabrication - Machine or electrical shop operations - Test & Integration - Materials, hardware, or supplies - Training - Project management - Environmental testing - Electrical components, wiring, and assembly - Mechanical assembly - Ordnance logistics, including receiving ordnance and delivering it to the launch sites such as flight termination system ordnance, pyrotechnics, and solid rocket motors - Motor handling operations	X	X	X	X	X




Non-Recurring DRPA Work Orders


 Formatted	Table

	Number	Core Category	Work Order Name	Category	Work Order Scope	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20
	<u>N1</u>	Administrative	NASA Rocket Motor Procurement - SEB Activities	В	Perform the procurement selection activity for the NASA owned sustainer rocket motor production effort. This work order is inclusive of a source evaluation board process that results in a motor buy recommendation to SRPO.	X			X	
	<u>N2</u>	Administrative	NASA Owned Exo- Atmospheric Rocket Motor Procurement	D	Perform the procurement activity for the NASA owned sustainer rocket motor production effort. This procurement will include creation and management of the sub-contracts for the manufacture of assembled propulsion units (including motor case, igniter, propellant casting, aft closure, insulation, liner, nozzle, and exit cone). Technical specifications, SOWs, drawings, and tooling hardware (from the prototype development effort) are provided by NASA.		X			Х
 	<u>N3</u>	Technical	Sounding Rocket Vehicle Long Term Flight Analysis and Trending	В	Assess the performance of the commercial and surplus rocket motors used by the Sounding Rocket Program (SRP) by analyzing flight data for each mission, comparing flight data to historical flight data of the motor/vehicle, and looking for any potential trends or anomalies in the data. The goal of this work order is to perform a variety of analyses and studies that will help to identify the risk, causes, effects, and mitigations that will be used by SRPO and NSROC management in their decisions regarding the sounding rocket program vehicle stable. NSROC is requested to assign a team of personnel from appropriate disciplines to accomplish this long-term work order and to define a work order leader that will interface closely with NASA personnel. NASA personnel, both SRPO and AETD, will be participants in team activities and will use many of the analyses provided by the NSROC staff. Examples of studies to be performed under this work order include: 1. Risk Assessment — define the risks associated with the various failure modes for all vehicle configurations, considering issues associated with specific launch range as appropriate, and summarize using the 5x5 likelihood and consequence matrix approach. 2. IIP analyses — perform IIP analyses on the vehicle configurations used at WSMR to determine margins on worst case vehicle failure modes that would result in a likely terminate action. 3. Dispersion/Effects analyses — track the empirical dispersion of all vehicle configurations 4. Flight History Research — record and track anomalies that have occurred on each sounding rocket motor and vehicle configuration to determine if any trends are occurring 5. Flight Environment Data — analyze the flight environment data that is routinely collected on sounding rocket flights for each vehicle configuration and calculate the maximum predicted environments for each vehicle stack. For vehicle configurations that fly flight termination systems, compare the flight levels to the qualification levels of the FTS system to ensure c		x			x


<u>N4</u>	Technical	New Rocket Motor mplementation	D	Support deelop interface hardware for other motors, performance analysis of new motor in different vehicle configurations, design fin configuration, and define launcher interface hardware. Work to include: - Verify rocket motor weight/thrust properties will work in all configurations with heavy and light payloads. - Conduct fin optimization study. - Conduct performance analysis on all vehicle configurations. - Design rocket motor fin and tailcan assembly. - Design fin to motor interface - Define vehicle(s) launch lug configuration. - Design fin to motor interface - Define interface details to enable flight termination system (FTS) installation. - Design injeter housing with despin. - Support development effort of shipping container and shipping certification. Fabricate prototype hardware to be used on vehicle test flight(s). Work will include completion of designs, analysis, fabrication and testing of hardware. Work will include but not limited to: 1. Vehicle HW Final Design and Analysis - Complete/review the design of the CDI, launch lugs, interstages, tailcan, and interstage hardware. - Conduct fin optimization study. 2. Conduct a Vehicle Design Review 3. FTS Design & Analysis - Design FTS system using available FTS components - Create an environment envelope - Verify the FTS ordnance will provide enough energy to destruct the rocket motor. - Perform a break up analysis - Tailor RCC documents - Verify the predicted environments with the test flight data. 4. Fabricate test hardware to be used to validate the design margins - Tailcan - Launch Lugs - CDI - Interstage 5. Develop procedures to be used for inspection and assembly of the vehicle. 6. Perform margin/environment testing on Test Hardware - Load testing of Launch Lugs, Tailcan, Fins, Interstage. - Perform wibration testing of the CDI/FTS to create transfer functions to be used in developing an environment envelope for FTS components. 7. Fabricate Vehicle Flight Articles - Fins, Tailcans, Launch Lugs, Interstages, and CDI	X	X		
<u>N5</u>	Technical S	High Performance Surplus Rocket Motor Trade Study	A	Support development of a new suborbital launch vehicle using high performance surplus rocket motors. Overall management of the Launch Vehicle Implementation will be executed by SRPO. 1. Support SRPO in transferring the surplus high performance rocket motors from their current military storage location to WFF: - Support telecons and meetings to work out logistics. - Fabricate/procure and test, as appropriate, shipping and handling hardware. - Receive and handle the motors for storage on Walfops Island. 2. Participate in a trade study, in partnership with AETD personnel, to identify the optimum approach to realize an integrated surplus high performance rocket motor stage.	x		X	




-	<u>N6</u>	Management	NSROC III Contract Deliverables	В	Provide the NSROC III contract deliverables as required in the Prime Contract Statement of Work, including: - Occupational Safety and Health Plan - Configuration Management Plan - Quality Manual - Training Plan - Information Technology (IT) Security Plan - Organizational Conflict of Interest Avoidance Plan - Risk Management Plan - Systems Engineering Plan - Others as defined in the Phase-In Plan or the Contract	Х			
	<u>N7</u>	Technical	High Data Rate Telemetry System Implementation	C	NSROC shall develop, procure, and qualify a >40 Mb/sec telemetry system and ground station assets to support a telemetry system that exceeds 40 Mb/sec. The scope for this work order includes the following: • Conduct a market study to determine suitable vendors for components • Develop functional requirements for the new system • Conduct a design review to include panel members from NSROC, SRPO, and AETD. The review shall include details of the design, functionality, and test plan. • Develop a test process and procedure to qualify the >40Mb/sec telemetry system. The process/procedure must meet all applicable requirements documents in the NSROC component qualification test policy. • Provide test support equipment, including specialized harnesses, fixtures, and other miscellaneous equipment and supplies to conduct the necessary testing. • Conduct qualification testing in accordance with NSROC component qualification test policy and the aforementioned process/procedure. • Implement modifications to ground station equipment at WFF and WSMR to be compatible with the new flight hardware. • Implement proper filtering standards into encoder design. • Generate a post-test report summarizing the test results and providing a recommendation and flight-qualification test plan for the >40Mb/sec telemetry system potential use in the Sounding Rockets Program. • Reviews and other documentation (drawings, schematics, procedures, etc.), as appropriate to obtain approvals from NASA and safety organizations to fly the >40 Mb/sec system.		x		
	<u>N8</u>	Technical	Propulsion Support	D	Provide ongoing consultant technical support to the NASA Sounding Rocket Program relating to propulsion systems, diagnostic support, and review of anomalous performance. Support may include subject matter expert teleconferences and evaluations on propellant/formulations, pyrotechnic systems, igniters and other energetic systems. It is not expected that more than 10 hrs/month will be required to support activities during normal times.		X	X	



1 1	<u>N9</u>	Technical	Remote Range Launcher Maintenance and Remote Range Development	В	Provide the labor and materials necessary to perform major maintenance or refurbishment to sounding rocket launchers located at non- routine or remote launch sites (including but not limited to Kwajalein, Australia, and Norway) that are outside the scope of routine maintenance and configuration in preparation for SRPO launches. The scope of work shall include, but not be limited to: - Perform major modifications, repairs and upgrades as necessary for individual Sounding Rocket Program launches; - Major modifications/repairs/upgrades may include: refurbishment of the accompanying launcher control consoles and associated wiring and boom mounted umbilical cabling between the launcher junction boxes and payload connector; maintenance, repair, and/or replacement of firing lines, power cabling, and payload umbilical cabling between the launcher and blockhouse; replacements of chains and sprockets on elevation drives, braking systems, relocation of land lines and pneumatic lines; completion of landline checkout procedures; removal, inspection, and repair/replacement of components as required - Development (design, fabrication, and testing) of any component of the launcher (to include retractable fittings, launch rails, support legs, etc.) to be able to support all standard sounding rocket vehicles - Installation of mobile launchers on launch sites (both permanent and remote) to support missions - Remote range support: checkout and prepare launchers for shipment, ship launchers to range, installation, checkout, and certification, remove and pack launcher for shipment to WFF or alternate location. - NSROC shall be able to track labor and material costs for each effort under this work order.	x	x		x	
	<u>N10</u>	Technical	T&E Facility Upgrade	D	NSROC shall add the capability to perform acceptance and qualification thermal vibration and shock testing to the Wallops testing and environmental lab facilities for all non-ordnance flight termination system (FTS) components. NSROC shall provide the labor to design, develop, install, and test the thermal conditioning system with both of the existing vibration and shock facilities. NSROC shall also procure the materials and equipment necessary to temperature condition (both hot and cold), monitor the temperature, and maintain the required temperature within the established tolerances for all non-ordnance FTS components.			x		
	<u>N11</u>	Technical	Next Generation Battery Feasibility Study	А	NSROC shall perform a feasibility study on next generation battery technology to recommend to SRPO a battery chemistry, packaging, and handling/charging process that fits with the budgetary constraints and operational requirements of sounding rocket payloads and vehicles. NSROC shall conduct the study such that the recommended solution meets the following top-level requirements: - The recommended battery solution shall be optimize mechanical parameters (i.e. small in size and lightweight) while providing the same or better electrical requirements. - The recommended battery solution shall have equal or better capacity than the legacy battery packs. - The recommended battery solution shall meet all NASA safety requirements. At the conclusion of the feasibility study NSROC shall present the results of the study to SRPO, NSROC, and AETD personnel along with a cost estimate and lead time estimate for implementation of the recommended solution.			х		
	<u>N12</u>	Technical	ACS Gyre Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU) Replacement	D	NSROC shall propose, produce (either by procurement or in-house manufacture), and flight qualify a replacement inertial measurement unit (IMU) to replace the legacy IMU (either all or in part) used on the Sounding Rocket Program. The replacement IMU shall improve the fidelity of the attitude solution and enhance the capabilities over the current IMU. This work order shall be performed in two phases over two contract years. Phase I (contract year 3) includes the market study, requirements definition, design review, prototype assembly, fabrication of ground support equipment (test equipment, cables, fixtures, etc.), and development of software, procedures, and documentation necessary to qualify the replacement IMU for sounding rocket science missions. At the end of the work order NSROC shall provide SRPO a replacement IMU that is fully qualified, integrated into existing attitude control systems (ACS), approved for flight, and that has all of the drawings, schematics, procedures, and test results documented, NSROC shall provide proventy, and the propose, procure, and flight qualify a replacement incrtial measurement unit (IMU, or gyro) to replace the legacy gyro used on the Sounding Rocket Program. The replacement gyro shall improve the fidelity of the attitude solution and enhance the capabilities over the current gyro. This work order shall be performed in two phases over two contract years. Phase I (contract year 3) includes the market study, requirements definition, design review, fabrication of ground support equipment (test equipment, cables, fixtures, etc.), and development of software, procedures, and documentation necessary for approval and qualification. Phase 2 (contract year 4) includes the ground, acceptance, and flight qualification activities necessary to qualify the replacement gyro for sounding rocket science missions. At the end of the work order NSROC shall provide SRPO a replacement gyro that is fully qualified, integrated into existing ACS systems, and approved for flight and that has all of			x	х	



	<u>N13</u>	Technical	Redesign of Sounding Rocket Capacitive Discharge Ignition Systems with Solid State Components	Α	NSROC shall propose and implement their approach for redesigning the legacy sounding rocket capacitive discharge ignition (CDI) systems using solid state componentry across all applications that require capacitive discharge systems. The primary goal of the work order is to modernize, standardize, and miniaturize the legacy systems. Secondary goals of the work order are to share common parts among all of the systems to reduce costs and to automate the fabrication process so each CDI system can be built and tested in less time than it takes to build and test the legacy CDI systems. NSROC shall re-design the CDI electronics such that it meets the following top-level requirements: - The system shall no longer incorporate mechanical components (i.e. mechanical relays) - The system design shall be flexible and expandable such that it can be used across all applications that require capacitive discharge ignition - The system shall be autonomous such that it does not rely on other payload support systems for power and activation, thus maintaining the Sounding Rockets Program long-standing modular design characteristics. At the end of the work order NSROC shall provide SRPO a capacitive discharge system that is fully qualified and approved for flight and that has all of the drawings, schematics, procedures, and test results documented.					x	
--	------------	-----------	---	---	---	--	--	--	--	---	--



Acronym List

ACES Agency Consolidated End-User Services

AETD NASA Advanced Engineering Technology Directorate

ARRASC Andoya Rocket Range Andoya Space Center, Norway

CCB Configuration Control Board
CFR Code of Federal Register
CM Configuration Management

CO Contracting Officer

COR Contracting Officer's Representative CWBS Contract Work Breakdown Structure DAD SRPO Duplicate Authority Designee

DR Design Review

DRM Design Review Memorandum

DRPA Development and Routine Project Assignments

EAR Export Administration Regulations
FAR Federal Acquisition Regulations
FOM Facility Operations Manager
FRP Flight Requirements Plan

GIDEP Government-Industry Data Exchange Program

GPS Global Positioning Satellite
GSE Ground Support Equipment
GSFC Goddard Space Flight Center
HAZCOM Hazard Communication

HCS Hazard Communication Standard

IAGP Installation Accountable Government Property

ISO International Standards Organization

IT Information Technology

ITAR International Traffic in Arms Regulations

JIP Joint Implementation Plan

KWAJ Kwajalein

LAN Local Area Network
LRR Launch Readiness Review
MCL Mission Complexity Level
MCR Mission Closeout Report
MIC Mission Initiation Conference
MOM SRPO Mission Oversight Monitor
MOU Memorandum of Understanding

MRB Material Review Board MRR Mission Readiness Review

MRRM Mission Readiness Review Memorandum

MSDS Materials Safety Data Sheet MTR Mission Telemetry Requirements

NASA National Aeronautics and Space Administration

NPR NASA Procedural Requirements

NFS NASA FAR Supplement

NSROC III NASA Sounding Rocket Operations Contract III

NSRP NASA Sounding Rockets Program

OSHA Occupational Safety and Health Administration

OSS Occupational Safety Supervisor

PFRR Poker Flat Research Range in Fairbanks, Alaska

PIR Pre-Integration Review

RDM Requirements Definition Meeting

RDMM Requirements Definition Meeting Memorandum

RSDP Range Safety Data Package RSM Range Safety Manual SNI San Nicholas Island SOW Statement of Work SVAL Svalbard, Norway

TPIF Technical Performance Incentive Fee

VAB Vehicle Assembly Building (WSMR facility)

WFF Wallops Flight Facility

WO Work Order

WOCR Well Organized Central Repository
WSMR White Sands Missile Range (ARMY)
WSTF White Sands Test Facility (NASA)